

Chairman Erickson and Committee Members
October 30th 2021
Kansas Statehouse

Darwin Petersen

To Chairman Erickson and the Committee Members, I express my gratitude for the opportunity to address our elected officials on these most important issues.

I have been a Kansas resident since 1986.

Overreach

Our country was founded by those who had endured a 'long train of abuses' from an overreaching monarchy. The courageous and inspired remedy was resistance to tyranny and the formation of a Constitutional Republic and the enemies of liberty have never ceased to attack it. I am not here today to beg or plead for my liberties to be respected. I am here to publicly affirm my Constitutionally protected freedoms and my Unalienable Rights. No exemption(s) is/are required as per Amendments 1 and 4 protected under The Constitution for the United States of America, enshrining the freedom of religion and to be secure in my own private person.

All trespasses and unlawful violations by businesses, city, county, state and federal officers of unwarranted and experimental medical procedures, social restraint or enforcement of these mandates by "color of law" are repugnant to the Constitution for the United States of America which serves to lawfully protect us. We The people are the creators of the government. The created is never greater than the creator.

There is no verifiable science to back any of the overreaching governmental and business measures of masks, distancing, quarantining or vaccines. Yet, there is abundant evidence that COVID-19 is a globally coordinated, planned, and executed terrorist attack on humanity. The evidence is sufficient for international law suits in work against governments and corporations for crimes against humanity.

There have been constant, powerful, coordinated attacks to discredit and silence tens of thousands of medical professionals worldwide who have provided safe, inexpensive and effective treatments for COVID-19 as well as exposed the dangers of the injections, which are not legally vaccines at all. Many thousands have been healed quickly with these treatments yet they are not widely used and available. This can and should be corrected in Kansas immediately.

Impact of Mandates

Every aspect of personal and social life has been seriously impacted in destructive ways. We are social beings who need caring meaningful interactions with others. A sincere smile, a firm friendly handshake, a warm embrace. The lockdowns and public fears created by false narratives and misinformation have created powerful weakening forces of division among our entire society. What family, church, work group or neighborhood has been untouched? Many are so brainwashed with fear that they drive around town alone in their car wearing a mask or walking alone outside. They seem to look at their fellow living breathing human beings as the enemy. The only winners in this scenario are those who wish to have a weak and controllable populace.

The financial impacts have hurt most those who were already the most vulnerable. More than a third of Kansas small businesses were deemed “non-essential” and many will never reopen. Meanwhile the worlds mega billionaires and their businesses were open enjoying a near monopoly market reaping massively increased profits during this same time period. Follow the money. Who is controlling what and whom for what purposes?

While hard working entrepreneurs were shut down so were our churches, but abortion clinics were opened. No greater hypocrisy could be invented. Church attendance has dwindled in many cases while others still attend online. The once friendly smiles and handshakes have been replaced with covered faces and confusion as people do not know who they can great as they once did or who is now just best to avoid. Are we being asked to replace our faith in God with despondency while exercising faith in the self-proclaimed experts and the wares of big business?

The most egregious abuse of all are the attempts to force people to be injected with something against their will and without the required legal and rightful full disclosure of the contents as well as the possible side effects of these contents, many of which are serious poisons. Since these injections are being administered under EUA – Emergency Use Authorization, manufacturers are not required to disclose the contents. This means no one has been properly informed. They are also conveniently not liable for what they do to you!

What is the real source and purpose of these mandates? What happens when we do not stand in defense of the inalienable rights of our neighbors? At that point the enemies of our country laugh at our weakness and gullibility. When we as citizens, regardless of our own personal beliefs, are insensitive, uncaring and unwilling to support others in their inherent rights, then we have no moral recourse before God or man when the same despots destroy the freedom of those who were silent before. Those who have chosen the shots, do you realize these same arrogant people are constantly changing the rules about what constitutes “fully vaccinated”? How many booster shots will it take to decide it is your choice alone what you put into your body?

Call to Action

I call on all Kansas elected officials to courageously and passionately keep their oaths of office and defend the inalienable rights of the people of this great state. If you do, you will be supported by the people and Almighty God for He is the author of liberty and the principles of just government.

I call on the citizens of Kansas to turn off all mainstream media sources. Go look for the truth the perpetrators are trying so violently to hide. Rise up in a united voice of liberty. Peacefully do not comply with violations of your inalienable rights. Let others know of your support. Petition God in humble prayer to guide you in what action to take then do it. Visit websites like StopWorldControl.com and ConstitutionalLawGroup.us to learn more and get help. Share important information with others. If you have ways to help others communicate and build support, share it. Contact your City, County, State and Federal officials and insist they keep their oaths of office. Let them know you will support them as they do so. Patriotic Attorneys, we need your assistance in organizing and preparing legal action to protect our rights.

Additional Information

AMENDMENT I

Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

AMENDMENT IV

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

Title 18 U.S.C. §241 Conspiracy Against Rights. If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

Title 18 U.S.C. §242 Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law makes it a crime for a person acting under color of any law to willfully deprive a person of a right or privilege protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

16 Am Jur 2d §71 Effect of Emergency. It is sometimes argued that the existence of an emergency allows the existence and operation of powers, national or state, which violate the inhibitions of the Federal Constitution. The rule is quite otherwise. No emergency justifies the violation of any of the provisions of the United States Constitution.

16 Am Jur 2d Sec 177 late 2d, Sec 256: The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. The U.S. Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and any statute, to be valid, must be in agreement. It is impossible for both the Constitution and a law violating it to be valid; one must prevail. An unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law. Indeed, insofar as a statute runs counter to the fundamental law of the land, it is superseded thereby. No one is bound to obey an unconstitutional law and no courts are bound to enforce it.

21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3, “Authorization for medical products for use in emergencies”: Appropriate conditions designed to ensure that individuals to whom the product is administered are informed.

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) guidance on mandates. Regardless of whether these products are under EUA, or move into full licensure, anti-discrimination laws, both State and Federal, still apply regardless of mandates or product authorization. **Even the EEOC stated that “EUA recognized protections that must be afforded to employees seeking exemption from vaccination [or other] requirements, due to medical conditions or sincerely-held religious beliefs.”**

The following Federal and State Laws continue to ensure protection in numerous ways, including; sincerely-held religious beliefs, medical conditions, disability, or other unstated condition(s):

- **The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)**

- **The Rehabilitation Act** (including the requirement for reasonable accommodations and non-discrimination based on disability, as well as strict rules about employer-mandated or employer-led medical examinations and inquiries)

- **Title VII of the Civil Rights Act** (which prohibits discrimination based on race, color, national origin, religion, and sex, including pregnancy)

- **The Age Discrimination in Employment Act** (which prohibits discrimination based on age, 40 or older)

- **The Genetic Information Non-discrimination Act**

Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 180 (1803) ". "All law (rules and practices) which are repugnant to the Constitution are null and VOID". The 14th Amendment to the Constitution states "NO State (Jurisdiction) shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the rights, privileges, or immunities of citizens of the United States nor deprive any citizens of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law, ... or equal protection under the law", this renders judicial immunity unconstitutional.

Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 237, 243. (1985) states that I can stand upon my God given rights as an American citizen, my right to contract is unlimited, and that I owe NO DUTY to the state.

Mattox v. U.S., 156 US 237, 243. (1985) "We are bound to interpret the Constitution in the light of the law as it existed at the time it was adopted."

City of Dallas v Mitchell, 245 S.W. 944. "To take away all remedy for the enforcement of a right is to take away the right itself. But that is not within the power of the State."

Miller v. U.S., 230 F 2d. 486, 490; 42 "There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of Constitutional rights."

Murdock v Pennsylvania, 319 U.S. 105 "No state shall convert a liberty into a license and charge a fee therefore."

DOMESTIC TERRORISM

Section 802 of the USA PATRIOT Act (Pub. L. No. 107-52)

Expanded the definition of terrorism to cover ""domestic,"" as opposed to international, terrorism. A person engages in domestic terrorism if they do an act "dangerous to human life" that is a violation of the criminal laws of a state or the United States, if the act appears to be intended to: (i) intimidate or coerce a civilian population; (ii) influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion.

Under the **Nuremberg Code, U.S.A. v. Karl Brandt (1947)**: Twenty-three doctors and administrators accused of organizing and participating in war crimes against humanity in the form of medical experiments and medical procedures inflicted on prisoners and civilians. The four counts of indictments are: Conspiracy to commit war crimes against humanity, War Crimes, Crimes against humanity and Membership in a criminal organization.

The declaration of a State of Emergency for the COVID-19 diagnosis criteria for a series of pneumonia and influenza related symptoms and the allegations of the existence of a “novel coronavirus” is based on a series of assumptions that are patently false.

1. According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses’ (ICTV) Coronaviridae Study Group (CSG) publication on March 2, 2020, the preliminary data suggesting that there was sufficient variation to determine this to be a novel virus vs. a mutation of known coronaviruses, was not based on established scientific principles but was responsive to the World Health Organization’s prior unfounded declaration of novelty of both the virus and a new disease;

2. There could be no independent verification of the epidemiologic models predicting dire infection and mortality rates as the underlying models and data were not published, and when sought, were reportedly corrupted so as to make their examination impossible;

3. In violation of State law, no medical or scientific evidence was provided to establish even causal links between the SARS CoV-2 and the symptoms of COVID-19, relying instead on foreign government hearsay and conjecture;

4. Since 2003, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and their subordinate organizations – the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) – maintained a patent preventing any independent organization from testing for the presence of coronavirus transmissible to humans through 2018 resulting in a complete lack of testing technologies;

5. No State official reviewed for accuracy or veracity any of the causal statements made in the Declaration of Emergency which contain false, misleading, and terror inducing statements;

6. In violation of well-established legal precedent from *Jew Ho v. Williamson*, 103 F. 10, 26 (C.C.N.D. Cal. 1900) and subsequent public health law, arbitrary and capricious rules were inflicted upon a part of the population that were not applied generally, resulting in the unlawful confinement of a healthy population with no basis in science or fact;

7. The Governor failed to provide adequate testing to confirm or deny the presence or absence of “a novel coronavirus” and, based on recent reports from testing of incarcerated persons reported by Reuters, 96% of prisoners testing positive for coronavirus are asymptomatic, demonstrating a failure to establish even a statistical link between the virus and the disease;

8. Neither the Governor, public health officer nor any corporate entity has followed evidence-based, peer-reviewed, clinical science showing that neither social distancing (of up to 6 feet of separation), nor the wearing of masks has any clinical effect in a healthy population and that instituting such policies is exclusively for the inducement of fear and terror in the population.

“THERE IS NO CLINICAL DATA SHOWING THAT THE ‘RESTRAINT OF HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS’ HAS ANY EMPIRICAL DATA SUPPORTING ITS USE. NO EVIDENCE SUPPORTING EMERGENCY DECLARATIONS HAVE BEEN OFFERED WITH THE EXCEPTION OF STATEMENTS MADE BY COLLUDING PARTIES SEEKING TO BENEFIT FROM VACCINATIONS, TESTING OR THE COMBINATION –NEITHER OF WHICH CAN BE REASONABLY EXPECTED GIVEN THE PATENTS GRANTED TO AND HELD BY THE COLLUDING PARTIES.”