

Kansas Association of Chiefs of Police

PO Box 2163 Hutchinson, KS 67504

Testimony to House Committee on Judiciary Opposition Testimony on HB 2606

February 13, 2024

Chairwoman Humphries, and Committee Members,

This testimony is on behalf of the Kansas Association of Chiefs of Police in opposition of HB 2606.

The Kansas Association of Chiefs of Police supports most changes suggested by the Judicial Council Civil Asset Forfeiture Advisory Committee in their December 1, 2023, report as they are represented in HB 2606.

We appreciate the Judicial Council and the House Judiciary Committee listening to our concerns and acknowledging the importance of addressing potential issues in the existing framework. We believe that the proposed changes, for the most part, are workable and do not undermine law enforcement efforts or impair our ability to positively impact public safety. But we do have some areas of concern that force us to take an opponent stance on the bill.

The KACP opposes Sec. 8 (f)(2) "In any proceeding in which the court finds that the claimant has prevailed by ordering the return of at least half of the aggregate value of the claimant's interest in the property or currency in which the claimant asserted an interest, the court shall order the seizing agency to pay:" In no other civil proceeding are fees attached based on a 50% dividing line. The KACP suggests changing the "shall" to "may". The reasoning is that the presiding judge will have more intimate knowledge on any particular case and should have the latitude to enter an order or not to order the payment of attorney fees or post judgment interest based on if there was a bad faith involved with the seizing agency.

The KACP suggests minor adjustments to HB 2606. In the amended Sec. 9 (b) there is not an option for the seizing agency to transfer the weapons to a Federal Firearms Dealer for credit to acquire weapons that can actually be used by the seizing agency. The KACP would request that addition.

The KACP appreciates the comprehensive and well thought out list of acceptable uses of seized funds in Sec. 9 to include the payment of attorney fees and litigation costs and interest ordered by the court.

In conclusion, the members of the Kansas Association of Chiefs of Police recognize the need for thoughtful reforms to the civil asset forfeiture process, we thank Judicial Council Civil Asset Forfeiture Advisory Committee for their hard work and commend them for asking for input from all levels of law enforcement across the state.

Darrell Atteberry Legislative Chair Kansas Association of Chiefs of Police