Session of 2024

HOUSE BILL No. 2650

By Committee on K-12 Education Budget Requested by Representative Goetz

1-31

AN ACT concerning education; relating to school districts; requiring each school district to submit annually to the state board of education an atrisk student accountability plan and show longitudinal academic improvement in certain student subgroups and students identified as eligible for at-risk programs and services; revising the definition of evidence-based instruction relating to the provision of at-risk programs and services; removing the requirement that the state board of education approve and publish a list of evidence-based at-risk educational programs; requiring the state board of education to publish an online guidance resource for at-risk educational programs; prohibiting the state board of education from revising curriculum standards in English language arts and mathematics until 75% of all students achieve academic proficiency; requiring school districts to be in compliance with all state laws and rules and regulations to maintain accreditation; amending K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-5153 and 72-5170 and repealing the existing sections.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

New Section 1. (a) Commencing in school year 2024-2025, each school district board of education shall submit annually to the state board of education an at-risk student accountability plan to attain at-risk student proficiency in accordance with this section and to ensure the provision of programs and services that are above and beyond regular education services to students who are eligible for at-risk programs and services. Such plan shall be submitted to the state board of education on a form and in the manner required by the state board. The purpose of each school district's at-risk student accountability plan shall be to:

(1) Demonstrate that the school district is using evidenced-based instruction, as defined in K.S.A. 72-5153, and amendments thereto, for the education of students who are identified as eligible for at-risk programs and services:

- (2) measure longitudinal academic improvement in a quantitative manner;
- (3) establish quantitative student academic improvement goals, meet such goals and revise such goals until all school districts meet the state

Proposed Amendments to House Bill No. 2650
Prepared by: Nick Myers
Office of Revisor of Statutes

Use term "cohort group" instead of subgroup; requiring school districts to choose a 3rd grade cohort group and a cohort group from any other grade K-8; establishing requirements for supports, interventions and evaluation of cohort groups; approved at-risk list; provisional programs; above and beyond; accreditation revision

for certain identified student cohort groups and strive to

through the provision of evidence based instruction that is provided to such cohort groups above and beyond regular educational services;

board of education's goal to have 75% of all students achieve proficiency by scoring at a level 3 or 4 on the state assessments for English language arts and mathematics by 2030; and

(4) ensure that at-risk education fund moneys are expended in accordance with the law by providing services above and beyond regular education services.

(b) Each at-risk student accountability plan shall identify not less than one subgroup of students who are enrolled and attending grade three in the school year that such subgroup is required to be identified and not less than one subgroup of students enrolled in and attending grade four in the school year that such subgroup is required to be identified. Except as provided in this subsection, one of the student subgroups identified by the school district for either such grade level shall be the students who are eligible for free meals pursuant to the national school lunch program. For the other grade level, the school district shall identify any other student subgroup used for state assessment purposes or shall identify a group of students who are identified as eligible to receive at-risk educational programs and services pursuant to the same at-risk identification criteria established pursuant to K.S.A. 72-5153a, and amendments thereto. A school district shall not identify a subgroup of students pursuant to this subsection if such subgroup is comprised of 10 or fewer students. If both subgroups of students who are eligible for free meals are comprised of 10 or fewer students, the school district shall identify another subgroup pursuant to this subsection.

(c) Each school district board of education shall establish a four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for each chosen student subgroup. The academic improvement goal established pursuant to this section shall be related to achievement of academic proficiency in the areas of English language arts and mathematics. A school district board of education shall use state assessment scores and may use one additional quantitative assessment tool chosen by the school district to evaluate whether such student subgroups are achieving at a level that is sufficient to meet or exceed the quantitative academic improvement goal established for each such student subgroup. Any additional assessment tool chosen by the school district to measure quantitative student achievement shall be specified on the school district's at-risk student accountability plan which is intended to ensure the provision of programs and services that are above and beyond regular education services to students who qualify for at-risk programs and services. Once a school district establishes a four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for a subgroup on the school district's at-risk accountability plan, the school district shall not revise or revoke such goal for such subgroup in order to meet or exceed such goal.

through the provision of evidence based instruction that is provided to such cohort groups above and beyond regular educational services;

; and

(5) continue the process of identifying certain student cohort groups and providing evidence based instruction above and beyond that of a regular education to such identified student cohort groups until the school district achieves the state board of education's goal to have 75% of all students achieve proficiency by scoring at a level 3 or 4 on the state assessments for English language arts and mathematics.

each

cohort group

kindergarten or any of the grades one through eight each

cohort groups

cohort group that corresponds to one of the subgroups identified

cohort

cohort group

cohort groups

cohort group

for a cohort group

cohort group in accordance with this subsection and shall repeat such process every four years as provided in this section.

cohort group

Attachment A

 $\overline{(1)}$

(d) Each school district board of education shall conduct a four-year

(1)

11 12

15

17

19

22

25

26

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

39

longitudinal academic evaluation of each student subgroup identified on the school district's at-risk student accountability plan to evaluate whether such students improved upon attainment of academic proficiency and met or exceeded the quantitative academic improvement goal established by the school district board of education.

(e) If the identified student subgroup meets or exceeds the quantitative academic improvement goal established for such student subgroup at the end of the four-year period, the school district board of education shall repeat the process established pursuant to this section by identifying another student subgroup establishing a four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for such subgroup and conducting a four-year longitudinal academic evaluation of such subgroup in accordance with this section.

(f) If the identified student subgroup does not meet or exceed the quantitative academic improvement goal established for such subgroup at the end of the four-year period:

(1) The state board of education shall deem such school district as not meeting at-risk improvement requirements on the school district's at-risk student achievement report published on the state board's website pursuant to subsection (k) until such school district meets the quantitative academic improvement goal established by the school district board of education for the subsequent subgroup identified pursuant to subsection (b) that replaces the subgroup that did not meet or exceed the four-year longitudinal academic improvement goal; and

(2) the school district board of education shall continue to evaluate the longitudinal academic performance of such student subgroup for one additional school year.

(g) If, at the end of the one additional school year authorized pursuant to subsection (f)(2) the identified student subgroup:

(1) Meets or exceeds the quantitative academic improvement goal established by the school district board of education, then the school district board of education shall repeat the process established pursuant to this section for another student subgroup by identifying another student subgroup, establishing a four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for such subgroup and conducting a four-year longitudinal academic evaluation of such subgroup in accordance with this section; or

(2) does not meet or exceed the quantitative academic improvement goal established by the school district board of education at the end of such additional school year, then the school district board of education shall continue to make efforts for such student subgroup to meet or exceed the quantitative academic improvement goal established for such student subgroup in each school year following such additional school year until such subgroup meets or exceeds the quantitative academic improvement

cohort group

Attachment B

cohort group

cohort group

(i)

cohort group

; and

(3) the school district board of education shall repeat the process established pursuant to this section by identifying another student cohort group pursuant to subsection (b), establishing a four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for such cohort group and conducting a four-year longitudinal academic evaluation of such cohort group in accordance with this section

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22 23

24

26

29

31

32

33 34

35

37

38

41

42

goal established by the school district board of education and the school district board of education shall repeat the process established pursuant to this section for another student subgroup by identifying another student subgroup, establishing a four-year quantitative academic improvementgoal for such subgroup and conducting a four-year longitudinal academicevaluation of such subgroup in accordance with this section. (h) (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of K.S.A. 72-5131 et seq., and amendments thereto, the school district's at-risk student weighting entitlement in the school year following the school year in which the school district failed to meet or exceed a four-year quantitative academic student cohort group improvement goal for either subgroup identified pursuant to this section shall be determined and adjusted by the state board as follows: cohort group (A) If only one student subgroup identified on the school district's atrisk accountability plan fails to meet or exceed the quantitative academic improvement goal established by the school district board of education, the state board of education shall: (i) Determine the BASE aid amount that was in effect in the final cohort group year of the four-year evaluation period of such subgroup, (ii) determine the difference between the BASE aid amount in the current school year pursuant to K.S.A. 72-5132, and amendments thereto, and the BASE aid amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A)(i); (iii) multiply the amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A) (ii) by 0.50; (iv) add the amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A)(i) to the amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A)(iii); and (v) multiply the sum determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A)(iv) by the school district's at-risk student weighting determined pursuant to 72-5151 K.S.A. 72-5153, and amendments thereto. The computed amount is the amount of state aid attributable to the at-risk student weighting that the school district shall be entitled to receive in the current school year; or cohort groups (B) if both student subgroups identified on the school district's at-risk accountability plan fail to meet or exceed the quantitative academic improvement goals established by the school district board of education, the state board of education shall: (i) Determine the BASE aid amount that was in effect in the final year of the four-year evaluation period of such subgroups; and (g) (ii) multiply the amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(B) (i) by the school district's at-risk student weighting determined pursuant to K.S.A. 72-5153, and amendments thereto. The computed amount is the 72-5151 amount of state aid attributable to the at-risk student weighting that the school district shall be entitled to receive in the current school year; and (2) the state board of education shall determine a school district's atrisk student weighting entitlement pursuant to this subsection for the

school year following the year in which the subgroup identified on the school district's at-risk accountability plan fails to meet or exceed the four-year quantitative academic improvement goal established by the school district board of education; and

(3) the school district shall repeat the process established pursuant tothis section by identifying another student subgroup, establishing a fouryear quantitative academic improvement goal for such subgroup and conducting a four-year longitudinal academic evaluation of such subgroupin accordance with this section.

(i) Each school district board of education shall continue to follow the school district's at-risk student accountability plan and update the plan annually or as may be necessary to repeat the process established pursuant to this section by every four or five years by identifying another student subgroup, establishing a four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for such subgroup and conducting a four-year longitudinal academic evaluation of such subgroup in accordance with this section. Such process shall continue until 15% of all students enrolled in school districts who took the statewide assessments during the preceding school year achieve academic proficiency by scoring at level 3 or 4 on the statewide assessments in both English language arts and mathematics.

(i) (1) Each school district board of education shall submit annually to the state board of education an at-risk student achievement report in a form and in the manner established by the state board that includes:

(A) The school district's at-risk student accountability plan to attain student proficiency established in accordance with this section that includes the subgroups chosen by the board of education of the school district and the quantitative academic improvement goals established for such subgroups?

(B) an update on the current progress of the school district's plan to attain at-risk student proficiency and an estimation of whether the school district expects to meet or exceed the longitudinal academic improvement goals established by the school district board of education pursuant to this section;

(C) the at-risk programs, services and resources and the provisional at-risk educational programs that the school district is using to support student achievement for students identified as eligible to receive at-risk program services.

(D) the number of students identified as eligible to receive at-risk or provisional at-risk educational program services who were served or provided assistance under the district's approved at-risk program;

(E) the data and research the school district utilized to determine what programs and services are needed to implement the district's approved atrisk program; and

cohort group

cohort group

the school district achieves the state board of education's goal to have

cohort groups

and the targeted supports and interventions from the state board of education's list of approved at-risk educational programs that the school district is using to provide evidence-based instruction above and beyond regular education services to achieve the longitudinal academic improvement goals established for each subgroup

and

...

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

30

31

(1)

27 28 29

38 39 40

41

36

37

(F) any other information required by the state board.

(2) Each school district shall track and report annually the longitudinal performance of students that are continuously receiving atrisk programs and services in the district's approved at-risk program and, if applicable, shall include data regarding state assessment scores, Kansas-English language proficiency assessment results, four-year graduation rates, progress monitoring, norm-referenced test results, criterion-based test results, individualized education program goals, attendance and average ACT composite scores.

(3) To achieve uniform reporting of the number of students who are provided at-risk programs and services above and beyond that of a regular education, school districts shall report the information required pursuant to this subsection in a uniform manner required by the state board.

(k) The state board of education shall publish the plans and reports submitted by school districts on the state board of education's website through the link on the state department of education's website homepage titled "accountability reports" with such reports published under a link titled "school district at-risk student accountability plans and reports." Each school district board of education shall publish the school district's report on the school district's website and provide a link to the state department of education's website where all such reports are displayed.

(1) On or before January 31 each year, the state department of education shall prepare and present a summary of the reports submitted pursuant to subsection (j) to the house of representatives standing committee on K-12 education budget and the senate standing committee on education.

(m) As used in this section, "longitudinal" means the repeated examination and progress monitoring of the same individuals of a particular cohort group of students over a period of time.

Sec. 2. K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-5153 is hereby amended to read as follows: 72-5153. (a) There is hereby established in every school district an at-risk education fund that shall consist of all moneys deposited therein or transferred thereto according to law. The expenses of a school district directly attributable to providing at-risk student assistance or programs shall be paid from the at-risk education fund.

(b) Any balance remaining in the at-risk education fund at the end of the budget year shall be carried forward into the at-risk education fund for succeeding budget years. Such fund shall not be subject to the provisions of K.S.A. 79-2925 through 79-2937, and amendments thereto. In preparing the budget of such school district, the amounts credited to and the amount on hand in the at-risk education fund, and the amount expended therefrom shall be included in the annual budget for the information of the residents of the school district. Interest earned on the investment of moneys in any

may provide a supplemental narrative to accompany the school district's at-risk student achievement report to provide information regarding annual progress reports or reasons why the school district was able to meet or not able to meet the four-year longitudinal academic improvement goals established for each subgroup identified on the school district's at-risk student accountability plan pursuant to this section.

(1)

2

7

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

31

33

34

37

40

42 43

such fund shall be credited to that fund.

(c) Expenditures from the at-risk education fund of a school district shall only be made for the following purposes:

(1) At-risk—and provisional at-risk educational programs that are provided above and beyond regular educational services to students who are identified as at-risk;

(2) personnel providing educational services in conjunction with such programs;

(3) support for instructional classroom personnel designed to provide training for evidence-based best practices for at-risk educational programs; or

(4) services contracted for by the school district to provide such-at-risk and provisional at-risk educational programs.

(d) (1) The state board shall-identify and approve evidence-based-best practices for provide an online at-risk best practices resource list of at-risk educational programs and services that demonstrate best practices and provide evidence-based instruction of students receiving at-risk program services that have been or are currently being used by school districts to provide at-risk programs and services to students above and beyond that of a regular education. Such best practices at-risk best practices resource list shall include, but not be limited to, programs and services provided by state-based national nonprofit organizations that:

(A) Focus on students who are identified as students eligible to receive at-risk program services or who face other identifiable barriers to success:

(B) provide evidence-based instruction and support services to such students inside and outside the school setting; and

(C) evaluate outcomes data for students, including, but not limited to, school attendance, academic progress, graduation rates, pursuit of postsecondary education or career advancement.

(2) The state board shall review and update such best practices online at-risk best practices resource list as necessary and as part of its five-year accreditation system review process.

(3) The state board shall provide a list of approved at-risk educational programs to each school district. The department shall publish the such at-risk best practices resource list on the department's website with a link to such at-risk best practices resource list prominently displayed on the department's website homepage.

(4) (A)(3) No expenditure shall be made from a school district's atrisk education fund for any program or service that is not-included on the list of approved at-risk educational programs, unless such program is a provisional at-risk educational program authorized pursuant to subsection (c).

and provisional at-risk

and provisional at-risk

The state board shall identify, approve and provide a list of at-risk educational programs that provide best practices and evidence based instruction to students who are identified as eligible to receive at-risk programs and services that school districts shall use to provide at-risk educational programs to students who are identified as eligible to receive at-risk programs and services above and beyond that of a regular education.

of approved at-risk educational programs

of approved at-risk educational programs

(A)

included on the list of approved at-risk educational programs unless the expenditure is made for a provisional at-risk education program. HB 2650 8

3 5 6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

(B) Expenditures shall only be made for a provisional at-risk educational program for a period not to exceed three years after implementation of such provisional at-risk educational program by a school district. The state board shall review any such provisional at-risk educational program, and if such program satisfies the state board's requirements as an evidence-based best practice, then such program shall be included in the list of approved at-risk educational programs.

(5)(4) The purpose of at-risk and provisional School districts shall provide at-risk educational programs and services-is to provide students identified as eligible to receive at-risk programs and services with additional educational opportunities, interventions and evidence-based instructional services above and beyond regular educational services.

(6)(5) Delivery of at-risk and provisional at-risk programs or services by a school district may include, but shall not be limited to, the following:

- (A) Extended school year:
- before-school programs and services;
- (C) after-school programs and services:
- (D) summer school;
- (E) extra support within a class:
- (F) tutorial assistance: and
- (G) class within a class.
- (e) Each year the board of education of each school district shall prepare and submit to the state board a report on the at-risk and provisional at-risk educational programs provided by the school district for studentsidentified as eligible to receive at-risk program services. Such report shall include:
- (1) The number of students identified as eligible to receive at-risk or provisional at-risk educational program services who were served or provided assistance;
- (2) the type of at-risk and provisional at-risk educational programs and services provided, including the number of students provided assistance under the district's approved at-risk program;
- (3) the data and research the school district utilized in determining what programs and services were needed to implement the approved atrisk program:
- (4) the district shall track and report the longitudinal performance of students that are continuously receiving at-risk programs and services in the district's approved at-risk program and, if applicable, shall include data regarding state assessment scores, Kansas English language proficiency assessment results, four-year graduation rates, progress monitoring, normreferenced test results, criterion-based test results, individualized education program goals, attendance and average ACT composite scores; and
 - (5) any other information required by the state board.

(B) The state board of education may authorize a school district to make expenditures from the school district's at-risk education fund to commence and implement a provisional at-risk education program or service. The state board shall approve any provisional at-risk education program or service prior to the implementation of the provisional at-risk education program or service by a school district. Any provisional at-risk education program or service approved by the state board and implemented by a school district shall be subject to peer review while such program or service is implemented in the school district to evaluate whether the program or service is producing or likely to produce measurable success. If any provisional at-risk program or service satisfies the state board's requirements as an at-risk educational program that provides evidence-based instruction, the state board shall include such program or service on the list of approved atrisk educational programs.

and provisional at-risk

HB 2650

(f) In order to achieve uniform reporting of the number of students provided service or assistance by school districts in at-risk student-programs, school districts shall report the number of students served or assisted in the manner required by the state board.

(g) As used in this section:

- (1) "At-risk educational program" means an at-risk program or service that is identified and approved by the state board as an offers evidence-based-best practice pursuant to subsection (d) instruction that is provided to students above and beyond regular educational services;
- (2) "evidence-based instruction" means an education delivery system-based on peer-reviewed research that consistently produces better student outcomes over a five year period than would otherwise be achieved by the same students who are receiving at risk program services; and
- (3) "provisional at risk educational program" means an evidence-based at-risk educational program or service identified or developed by a school district as producing or likely to produce measurable success that has been submitted to the state board for review pursuant to subsection (d), method or best practice that has shown or is likely to show that such instruction will produce better student outcomes through four or more years of longitudinal results at a school district as evidenced through school district at-risk accountability plans and reports submitted pursuant to section 1, and amendments thereto.
- Sec. 3. K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-5170 is hereby amended to read as follows: 72-5170. (a) (1) In order to accomplish the mission for Kansas education, the state board shall design and adopt a school district accreditation system based upon improvement in performance that equals or exceeds the educational goal set forth in K.S.A. 72-3218(c), and amendments thereto, and is measurable. The state board shall hold all school districts accountable through the Kansas education systems accreditation rules and regulations, or any successor accreditation system and accountability plan adopted by the state board. The state board also shall ensure that all school districts and the public schools operated by such districts have programs and initiatives in place for providing those educational capacities set forth in K.S.A. 72-3218(c), and amendments thereto. On or before January 15 of each year, the state board shall prepare and submit a report on the school district accreditation system to the governor and the legislature.
- (2) The accountability measures established pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be applied both at the district level and at the school level. Such accountability measures shall be reported by the state board for each school district and each school. All reports prepared pursuant to this section shall be published in accordance with K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-1181, and amendments thereto.

"Above and beyond" means an at-risk educational program or evidence-based instruction or practice that is provided in excess of regular educational services and based on the needs of students identified as at-risk and may provide a collateral benefit to students who are not identified as at-risk without any additional cost.

(2) "At-risk educational program" means an at-risk program or service that is identified and approved by the state board as providing evidence-based instruction to students who are identified as eligible to receive at-risk programs and services above

- (3) "Evidence-based instruction" means an education delivery practice based on peer reviewed research that consistently produces better student outcomes over a one-year period than would otherwise be achieved by the same students who are identified as eligible for at-risk programs and services
- (4) "Provisional at-risk educational program" means an education delivery practice that is identified or developed by a school district as a program or service that is:
- (A) Provided to students who are eligible to receive at-risk programs and services above and beyond regular educational services;
- (B) producing or likely to produce better student outcomes;

and beyond regular educational services.

- (C) subject to peer review to evaluate whether such program provides evidence-based instruction; and
- (D) is placed on the state board of education's list of approved at-risk educational programs if the provisional at-risk educational program is shown to provide evidence-based instruction to students who are identified as eligible for at-risk programs and services.

(3) As required by the state board pursuant to K.A.R. 91-31-31 et seq., as in effect on July 1, 2024, for a school district to be and remain accredited pursuant to the state board's accreditation system the school district shall be in compliance with or working with the state board to achieve compliance with all applicable federal and state statutes and rules and regulations.

(4) If a school district is not fully accredited and a corrective action plan is required by the state board, such corrective action plan, and any subsequent reports prepared by the state board regarding the progress of such school district in implementing and executing such corrective action plan, shall be published on the state department of education's internet website and such school district's internet website in accordance with K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-1181, and amendments thereto.

(4)(5) If a school district is not accredited, the superintendent, or the superintendent's designee, shall appear before the committee on education of the house of representatives and the committee on education of the senate during the regular legislative session that occurs during the same school year—in which when such school district is not accredited. Such school district shall provide a report to such committees on the challenges and obstacles that are preventing such school district from becoming accredited.

- (b) The state board shall establish curriculum standards that reflect high academic standards for the core academic areas of mathematics, science, reading, writing and social studies. The curriculum standards-shall may be reviewed at least every seven years. The state board shall not revise or update the English language arts or mathematics curriculum standards that are in effect on July 1, 2024, until the state board's long-term goal for all students submitted to the United States department of education in the consolidated state plan is achieved such that 75% of all students score in performance levels 3 and 4 combined on the statewide assessments in English language arts and mathematics by 2030. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed in any manner so as to impinge upon any school district's authority to determine its own curriculum.
- (c) The state board shall provide for statewide assessments in the core academic areas of mathematics, science, reading, writing and social studies. The board shall ensure compatibility between the statewide assessments and the curriculum standards established pursuant to subsection (b). Such assessments shall be administered at three grade levels, as determined by the state board. The state board shall determine performance levels on the statewide assessments, the achievement of which represents high academic standards in the academic area at the grade level to which the assessment applies. The state board should specify high academic standards both for individual performance and school

strike

performance on the assessments.

- (d) Each school year, on such date as specified by the state board, each school district shall submit the Kansas education system accreditation report to the state board in such form and manner as prescribed by the state board.
- (e) Whenever the state board determines that a school district has failed either to meet the accreditation requirements established by rules and regulations or standards adopted by the state board or provide curriculum based on state standards and courses required by state law, the state board shall so notify the school district. Such notice shall specify the accreditation requirements that the school district has failed to meet and the curriculum that it has failed to provide. Upon receipt of such notice, the board of education of such school district is encouraged to reallocate the resources of the school district to remedy all deficiencies identified by the state board.
- (f) Each school in every school district shall establish a school site council composed of the principal and representatives of teachers and other school personnel, parents of students attending the school, the business community and other community groups. School site councils shall be responsible for providing advice and counsel in evaluating state, school district, and school site performance goals and objectives and in determining the methods that should be employed at the school site to meet these goals and objectives. Site councils may make recommendations and proposals to the school board regarding budgetary items and school district matters, including, but not limited to, identifying and implementing the best practices for developing efficient and effective administrative and management functions. Site councils also may help school boards analyze the unique environment of schools, enhance the efficiency and maximize limited resources, including outsourcing arrangements and cooperative opportunities as a means to address limited budgets.
 - Sec. 4. K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-5153 and 72-5170 are hereby repealed.
- Sec. 5. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its publication in the statute book.

Attachment A

-

(2) To attain the four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for each identified student cohort group, the school district board of education shall identify and implement two to four targeted supports or interventions for each identified student cohort group. Such supports or interventions shall be selected from the state board of education's list of approved at-risk educational programs established pursuant to K.S.A. 72-5153, and amendments thereto. The purpose of the targeted supports or interventions is to provide evidence-based instruction above and beyond regular education services to the identified student cohort group and evaluate whether such targeted supports or interventions have a positive impact on academic improvement. A school district board of education may select different targeted supports or interventions for each identified student cohort group.

Attachment B

l_

- (2) To evaluate whether the student cohort group that is first identified in grade three pursuant to this section is achieving at a level that is sufficient to meet or exceed the four-year quantitative academic improvement goal established for such cohort group, the school district shall select and specify on the school district's at-risk accountability plan not more than two quantitative measures to evaluate the cohort group's achievement. One of such quantitative measures used by the school district shall be the English language arts and mathematics state assessments. The school district may choose one additional quantitative measure to evaluate such cohort group's progress from the list of approved quantitative measures in paragraph (4).
- (3) To evaluate whether the other student cohort group that is first identified in kindergarten or any of the grades one through eight pursuant to this section is achieving at a level that is sufficient to meet or exceed the four-year quantitative academic improvement goal established for such cohort group, the school district shall select and specify on the school district's at-risk accountability plan not more than two quantitative measures to evaluate such cohort group's achievement. If such identified student cohort group will take the English language arts and mathematics state assessments in a school year that such cohort group is evaluated pursuant to this section, the school district shall use such state assessments to evaluate the cohort group's achievement and may choose one additional quantitative measure to evaluate such cohort group's progress from the list of approved quantitative measures in paragraph (4). If such identified student cohort group will not take the English language arts and mathematics state assessments in a school year that such cohort group is evaluated, the school district shall use one or two two quantitative measures to evaluate such cohort group's progress from the list of approved quantitative measures in paragraph (4).
- (4) Subject to the requirements of paragraphs (2) and (3), the quantitative measures that a school district may use to evaluate whether an identified student cohort group is achieving at a level that is sufficient to meet or exceed the four-year quantitative academic improvement goal established for such cohort group, shall only include the following:
- (A) The English language arts and mathematics state assessments;
- (B) a formative assessment approved by the state board of education;
- (C) a summative assessment approved by the state board of education; or
- (D) ACT or ACT workkeys assessments.