State General Fund

**Total Revenues** 

Fee Fund(s)
Federal Fund

**FTE Positions** 

esponding agency:Department repared by:Tammy Tompkins		S Analyst: Bill Schafer	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Fiscal Impact		
State—Would this bill have a fisc	cal effect on your agency?	Yes ⊠ No □	
Local—Would this bill have a fis-	• • •		
Tax Revenue—Would this bill af			No ⊠
Fee or Other Revenue—Would th	ais bill affect revenues to oth	er state funds? Y	es □ No ⊠
Comparable program from another	er state available for reference	ce? Y	es □ No ⊠
	er state available for reference  FY 2024	FY 2025	Yes □ No ⊠  FY 2026
Expenditures State General Fund	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
Expenditures			
Expenditures State General Fund	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026
Expenditures State General Fund Fee Fund(s)	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026

## **Bill Description**

\$0

0

\$0

0

HB 2536 is related to the revised Kansas code for care of children which would establish SOUL family legal permanency as a permanency option for children in need of care who are 16 years of age or older. This legislation would allow courts to establish SOUL family legal permanency. This would amend K.S.A. 38-2234, 38-2263, 38-2264, 38-2266 and 38-2268 and K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 38-2202 and 38-2255 and repealing the existing sections; also repealing K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 38-2202a.

\$0

0

# Methodology and Assumptions for Fiscal Effect Estimate

## **Expenditures:**

In our initial fiscal note dated 1/22/2024, DCF included the costs for two categories that in hindsight should be eliminated. The first was the inclusion of youth subsidy added to the Adoption Support Program, that should be a program policy change and any additional funding would be requested through an enhancement request as part of the state budget cycle at the appropriate time.

The second category that we would now like to remove from the estimate are the annual medical costs. Initially the thought was that an amendment to the Medicaid State Plan would be needed for these youth to continue to be covered by the medical card. We now believe these youth would continue to be covered by the medical card without any change needed to the Medicaid State Plan.

DCF would also like to emphasize that a reduction of the length of stay for a youth in foster care would make this program cost neutral. The average cost for a youth in foster care for 6 months is \$25,890. In year 3 (full implementation) of this program if at least 32 (23%) of the anticipated 135 youth have a shortened stay in foster care by 6 months, the foster care savings would make this program cost neutral to the state.

DCF worked in conjunction with the Annie E. Casey Foundation, Mainsprings Consulting, and a stakeholder group to develop the benefits package and costs of implementing this new permanency option.

The following assumptions were used to calculate the costs of implementing the SOUL Family Permanency program.

# Amended Assumptions

- 25% of youth who age out, and all youth ages 16+ who currently exit to guardianship will establish SOUL Families.
- Caregiver Benefits would be the same as provided in the current Adoption Support program.
- All youth who are expected to establish SOUL family legal permanency would have formerly aged out of foster care making Young Adult Subsidy, Independent Living Start-Up Costs and Vehicle Repair and Maintenance Cost budget neutral.
- 40% of youth who are expected to establish SOUL family legal permanency would be eligible to access state funded post-secondary educational benefits.
- Estimates 44 young people create a SOUL Family in year one, 91 in year two and full implementation with 135 young people choosing this option by year three.

Benefits for Young People and Caregivers who have Established SOUL Families		Year Two (91 youth)	
Caregiver Non-Recurring Expenses and One-Time Payments	\$36,917	\$73,833	\$110,861
Caregiver Subsidy	\$65,124	\$171,184	\$277,439
Young Adult Subsidy (Cost Neutral)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Independent Living Start-Up Costs (Cost Neutral)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Vehicle Repair and Maintenance Cost (Cost Neutral)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Post-Secondary Educational Benefits Costs	\$73,220	\$219,660	\$439,540
TOTAL SOUL FAMILY COSTS	\$175,261	\$464,687	\$827,841

#### Revenues:

#### References/Sources

None

If there are supporting documents or spreadsheets explaining calculations or assumptions, please attach them. Be specific when citing the methodology used to calculate the fiscal effect of this legislation.

Are there examples of actual costs or revenue changes from similar legislation passed in other states? If so, please detail how the estimated fiscal effect in Kansas compares to that of other states and identify any programmatic differences that would lead to a fiscal effect that is different in Kansas than in other states. No, Kansas is one of the first states selected to pilot this program in the nation.

If you have questions, please contact the Budget Analyst assigned to this fiscal note.