Session of 2024

## HOUSE BILL No. 2650

By Committee on K-12 Education Budget

Requested by Representative Goetz

1-31

1 AN ACT concerning education; relating to school districts; requiring each 2 school district to submit annually to the state board of education an at-3 risk student accountability plan and show longitudinal academic 4 improvement in certain student subgroups and students identified as 5 eligible for at-risk programs and services; revising the definition of 6 evidence-based instruction relating to the provision of at-risk programs 7 and services; removing the requirement that the state board of education approve and publish a list of evidence-based at-risk 8 9 educational programs; requiring the state board of education to publish 10 an online guidance resource for at-risk educational programs; prohibiting the state board of education from revising curriculum 11 12 standards in English language arts and mathematics until 75% of all 13 students achieve academic proficiency; requiring school districts to be 14 in compliance with all state laws and rules and regulations to maintain accreditation; amending K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-5153 and 72-5170 and 15 16 repealing the existing sections.

17

18 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas:

19 New Section 1. (a) Commencing in school year 2024-2025, each 20 school district board of education shall submit annually to the state board 21 of education an at-risk student accountability plan to attain at-risk student 22 proficiency in accordance with this section and to ensure the provision of 23 programs and services that are above and beyond regular education 24 services to students who are eligible for at-risk programs and services. 25 Such plan shall be submitted to the state board of education on a form and 26 in the manner required by the state board. The purpose of each school 27 district's at-risk student accountability plan shall be to:

(1) Demonstrate that the school district is using evidenced-based
 instruction, as defined in K.S.A. 72-5153, and amendments thereto, for the
 education of students who are identified as eligible for at-risk programs
 and services;

32 (2) measure longitudinal academic improvement in a quantitative33 manner;

(3) establish quantitative student academic improvement goals, meetsuch goals and revise such goals until all school districts meet the state

board of education's goal to have 75% of all students achieve proficiency
 by scoring at a level 3 or 4 on the state assessments for English language
 arts and mathematics by 2030; and

4 (4) ensure that at-risk education fund moneys are expended in 5 accordance with the law by providing services above and beyond regular 6 education services.

7 (b) Each at-risk student accountability plan shall identify not less than 8 one subgroup of students who are enrolled and attending grade three in the 9 school year that such subgroup is required to be identified and not less 10 than one subgroup of students enrolled in and attending grade four in the school year that such subgroup is required to be identified. Except as 11 12 provided in this subsection, one of the student subgroups identified by the 13 school district for either such grade level shall be the students who are 14 eligible for free meals pursuant to the national school lunch program. For the other grade level, the school district shall identify any other student 15 16 subgroup used for state assessment purposes or shall identify a group of 17 students who are identified as eligible to receive at-risk educational 18 programs and services pursuant to the same at-risk identification criteria established pursuant to K.S.A. 72-5153a, and amendments thereto. A 19 20 school district shall not identify a subgroup of students pursuant to this 21 subsection if such subgroup is comprised of 10 or fewer students. If both 22 subgroups of students who are eligible for free meals are comprised of 10 23 or fewer students, the school district shall identify another subgroup 24 pursuant to this subsection.

25 (c) Each school district board of education shall establish a four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for each chosen student 26 27 subgroup. The academic improvement goal established pursuant to this 28 section shall be related to achievement of academic proficiency in the 29 areas of English language arts and mathematics. A school district board of education shall use state assessment scores and may use one additional 30 31 quantitative assessment tool chosen by the school district to evaluate 32 whether such student subgroups are achieving at a level that is sufficient to 33 meet or exceed the quantitative academic improvement goal established 34 for each such student subgroup. Any additional assessment tool chosen by 35 the school district to measure quantitative student achievement shall be 36 specified on the school district's at-risk student accountability plan which 37 is intended to ensure the provision of programs and services that are above 38 and beyond regular education services to students who qualify for at-risk 39 programs and services. Once a school district establishes a four-year 40 quantitative academic improvement goal for a subgroup on the school 41 district's at-risk accountability plan, the school district shall not revise or revoke such goal for such subgroup in order to meet or exceed such goal. 42

43 (d) Each school district board of education shall conduct a four-year

1 longitudinal academic evaluation of each student subgroup identified on

the school district's at-risk student accountability plan to evaluate whether
such students improved upon attainment of academic proficiency and met
or exceeded the quantitative academic improvement goal established by
the school district board of education.

6 (e) If the identified student subgroup meets or exceeds the 7 quantitative academic improvement goal established for such student 8 subgroup at the end of the four-year period, the school district board of education shall repeat the process established pursuant to this section by 9 10 identifying another student subgroup, establishing a four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for such subgroup and conducting a four-year 11 12 longitudinal academic evaluation of such subgroup in accordance with this 13 section.

(f) If the identified student subgroup does not meet or exceed the
quantitative academic improvement goal established for such subgroup at
the end of the four-year period:

17 (1) The state board of education shall deem such school district as not 18 meeting at-risk improvement requirements on the school district's at-risk student achievement report published on the state board's website pursuant 19 20 to subsection (k) until such school district meets the quantitative academic 21 improvement goal established by the school district board of education for 22 the subsequent subgroup identified pursuant to subsection (b) that replaces 23 the subgroup that did not meet or exceed the four-year longitudinal 24 academic improvement goal; and

(2) the school district board of education shall continue to evaluate
 the longitudinal academic performance of such student subgroup for one
 additional school year.

(g) If, at the end of the one additional school year authorized pursuant
to subsection (f)(2) the identified student subgroup:

(1) Meets or exceeds the quantitative academic improvement goal established by the school district board of education, then the school district board of education shall repeat the process established pursuant to this section for another student subgroup by identifying another student subgroup, establishing a four-year quantitative academic improvement goal for such subgroup and conducting a four-year longitudinal academic evaluation of such subgroup in accordance with this section; or

37 (2) does not meet or exceed the quantitative academic improvement 38 goal established by the school district board of education at the end of such 39 additional school year, then the school district board of education shall 40 continue to make efforts for such student subgroup to meet or exceed the 41 quantitative academic improvement goal established for such student 42 subgroup in each school year following such additional school year until 43 such subgroup meets or exceeds the quantitative academic improvement 1 goal established by the school district board of education and the school

district board of education shall repeat the process established pursuant to
this section for another student subgroup by identifying another student
subgroup, establishing a four-year quantitative academic improvement
goal for such subgroup and conducting a four-year longitudinal academic
evaluation of such subgroup in accordance with this section.

7 (h) (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of K.S.A. 72-5131 et seq., and amendments thereto, the school district's at-risk student weighting 9 entitlement in the school year following the school year in which the 10 school district failed to meet or exceed a four-year quantitative academic 11 improvement goal for either subgroup identified pursuant to this section 12 shall be determined and adjusted by the state board as follows:

(A) If only one student subgroup identified on the school district's at risk accountability plan fails to meet or exceed the quantitative academic
 improvement goal established by the school district board of education, the
 state board of education shall:

(i) Determine the BASE aid amount that was in effect in the finalyear of the four-year evaluation period of such subgroup;

19 (ii) determine the difference between the BASE aid amount in the 20 current school year pursuant to K.S.A. 72-5132, and amendments thereto, 21 and the BASE aid amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A)(i);

(iii) multiply the amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A)
(ii) by 0.50;

(iv) add the amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A)(i) to the amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A)(iii); and

(v) multiply the sum determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(A)(iv) by the school district's at-risk student weighting determined pursuant to K.S.A. 72-5153, and amendments thereto. The computed amount is the amount of state aid attributable to the at-risk student weighting that the school district shall be entitled to receive in the current school year; or

(B) if both student subgroups identified on the school district's at-risk
accountability plan fail to meet or exceed the quantitative academic
improvement goals established by the school district board of education,
the state board of education shall:

(i) Determine the BASE aid amount that was in effect in the finalyear of the four-year evaluation period of such subgroups; and

(ii) multiply the amount determined pursuant to subsection (h)(1)(B)(i) by the school district's at-risk student weighting determined pursuant to K.S.A. 72-5153, and amendments thereto. The computed amount is the amount of state aid attributable to the at-risk student weighting that the school district shall be entitled to receive in the current school year;

42 (2) the state board of education shall determine a school district's at-43 risk student weighting entitlement pursuant to this subsection for the school year following the year in which the subgroup identified on the
 school district's at-risk accountability plan fails to meet or exceed the four year quantitative academic improvement goal established by the school
 district board of education; and

5 (3) the school district shall repeat the process established pursuant to 6 this section by identifying another student subgroup, establishing a four-7 year quantitative academic improvement goal for such subgroup and 8 conducting a four-year longitudinal academic evaluation of such subgroup 9 in accordance with this section.

10 Each school district board of education shall continue to follow the (i) school district's at-risk student accountability plan and update the plan 11 12 annually or as may be necessary to repeat the process established pursuant 13 to this section by every four or five years by identifying another student subgroup, establishing a four-year quantitative academic improvement 14 goal for such subgroup and conducting a four-year longitudinal academic 15 16 evaluation of such subgroup in accordance with this section. Such process 17 shall continue until 75% of all students enrolled in school districts who 18 took the statewide assessments during the preceding school year achieve 19 academic proficiency by scoring at level 3 or 4 on the statewide 20 assessments in both English language arts and mathematics.

(j) (1) Each school district board of education shall submit annually
to the state board of education an at-risk student achievement report in a
form and in the manner established by the state board that includes:

(A) The school district's at-risk student accountability plan to attain
student proficiency established in accordance with this section that
includes the subgroups chosen by the board of education of the school
district and the quantitative academic improvement goals established for
such subgroups;

(B) an update on the current progress of the school district's plan to
attain at-risk student proficiency and an estimation of whether the school
district expects to meet or exceed the longitudinal academic improvement
goals established by the school district board of education pursuant to this
section;

(C) the at-risk programs, services and resources and the provisional
at-risk educational programs that the school district is using to support
student achievement for students identified as eligible to receive at-risk
program services;

(D) the number of students identified as eligible to receive at-risk or
 provisional at-risk educational program services who were served or
 provided assistance under the district's approved at-risk program;

41 (E) the data and research the school district utilized to determine what
 42 programs and services are needed to implement the district's approved at 43 risk program; and

1

(F) any other information required by the state board.

2 Each school district shall track and report annually the (2)3 longitudinal performance of students that are continuously receiving at-4 risk programs and services in the district's approved at-risk program and, if 5 applicable, shall include data regarding state assessment scores, Kansas 6 English language proficiency assessment results, four-year graduation 7 rates, progress monitoring, norm-referenced test results, criterion-based 8 test results, individualized education program goals, attendance and 9 average ACT composite scores.

(3) To achieve uniform reporting of the number of students who are
 provided at-risk programs and services above and beyond that of a regular
 education, school districts shall report the information required pursuant
 to this subsection in a uniform manner required by the state board.

14 (k) The state board of education shall publish the plans and reports submitted by school districts on the state board of education's website 15 16 through the link on the state department of education's website homepage titled "accountability reports" with such reports published under a link 17 18 titled "school district at-risk student accountability plans and reports." 19 Each school district board of education shall publish the school district's report on the school district's website and provide a link to the state 20 21 department of education's website where all such reports are displayed.

(1) On or before January 31 each year, the state department of
 education shall prepare and present a summary of the reports submitted
 pursuant to subsection (j) to the house of representatives standing
 committee on K-12 education budget and the senate standing committee
 on education.

(m) As used in this section, "longitudinal" means the repeated
examination and progress monitoring of the same individuals of a
particular cohort group of students over a period of time.

Sec. 2. K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-5153 is hereby amended to read as follows: 72-5153. (a) There is hereby established in every school district an at-risk education fund that shall consist of all moneys deposited therein or transferred thereto according to law. The expenses of a school district directly attributable to providing at-risk student assistance or programs shall be paid from the at-risk education fund.

36 (b) Any balance remaining in the at-risk education fund at the end of 37 the budget year shall be carried forward into the at-risk education fund for 38 succeeding budget years. Such fund shall not be subject to the provisions 39 of K.S.A. 79-2925 through 79-2937, and amendments thereto. In preparing 40 the budget of such school district, the amounts credited to and the amount 41 on hand in the at-risk education fund, and the amount expended therefrom 42 shall be included in the annual budget for the information of the residents 43 of the school district. Interest earned on the investment of moneys in any

1 such fund shall be credited to that fund.

2 (c) Expenditures from the at-risk education fund of a school district 3 shall only be made for the following purposes:

4 (1) At-risk-and provisional at-risk educational programs that are 5 provided above and beyond regular educational services to students who 6 are identified as at-risk;

7 (2) personnel providing educational services in conjunction with such 8 programs;

9 (3) support for instructional classroom personnel designed to provide 10 training for evidence-based best practices for at-risk educational programs; 11 or

(4) services contracted for by the school district to provide *such*-at risk and provisional at-risk educational programs.

(d) (1) The state board shall-identify and approve evidence-based best 14 practices for provide an online at-risk best practices resource list of at-risk 15 16 educational programs and services that demonstrate best practices and provide evidence-based instruction of students receiving at-risk program 17 18 services that have been or are currently being used by school districts to 19 provide at-risk programs and services to students above and beyond that 20 of a regular education. Such best practices at-risk best practices resource 21 *list* shall include, but not be limited to, programs and services provided by 22 state-based national nonprofit organizations that:

(A) Focus on students who are identified as students eligible to
 receive at-risk program services or who face other identifiable barriers to
 success;

(B) provide evidence-based instruction and support services to suchstudents inside and outside the school setting; and

(C) evaluate outcomes data for students, including, but not limited to,
 school attendance, academic progress, graduation rates, pursuit of
 postsecondary education or career advancement.

(2) The state board shall review and update such best practices online
 *at-risk best practices resource list* as necessary and as part of its five-year
 accreditation system review process.

34 (3) The state board shall provide a list of approved at-risk educational 35 programs to each school district. The department shall publish-the *such at-*36 *risk best practices resource* list on the department's website with a link to 37 such *at-risk best practices resource* list prominently displayed on the 38 *department's* website homepage.

(B) Expenditures shall only be made for a provisional at-risk-1 2 educational program for a period not to exceed three years afterimplementation of such provisional at-risk educational program by a-3 school district. The state board shall review any such provisional at-risk-4 5 educational program, and if such program satisfies the state board's-6 requirements as an evidence-based best practice, then such program shall 7 be included in the list of approved at-risk educational programs.

8 (5)(4) The purpose of at-risk and provisional School districts shall 9 provide at-risk educational programs and services-is to provide students identified as eligible to receive at-risk programs and services with 10 additional educational opportunities, interventions and evidence-based 11 instructional services above and beyond regular educational services. 12

13 (6)(5) Delivery of at-risk and provisional at-risk programs or services by a school district may include, but shall not be limited to, the following: 14 15

Extended school year; (A)

16 before-school programs and services; (B)

17 after-school programs and services; (C)

18 (D) summer school;

19 (E) extra support within a class;

20 (F) tutorial assistance: and

21 (G) class within a class.

22 (e) Each year the board of education of each school district shall-23 prepare and submit to the state board a report on the at-risk and provisional 24 at-risk educational programs provided by the school district for students 25 identified as eligible to receive at-risk program services. Such report shall inelude: 26

27 (1) The number of students identified as eligible to receive at-risk or 28 provisional at-risk educational program services who were served or-29 provided assistance;

30 (2) the type of at-risk and provisional at-risk educational programs-31 and services provided, including the number of students provided-32 assistance under the district's approved at-risk program;

33 (3) the data and research the school district utilized in determining 34 what programs and services were needed to implement the approved at-35 risk program;

36 (4) the district shall track and report the longitudinal performance of 37 students that are continuously receiving at-risk programs and services in 38 the district's approved at-risk program and, if applicable, shall include data 39 regarding state assessment scores, Kansas English language proficiencyassessment results, four-year graduation rates, progress monitoring, norm-40 referenced test results, criterion-based test results, individualized education 41 program goals, attendance and average ACT composite scores; and 42 43 (5) any other information required by the state board.

 (f) In order to achieve uniform reporting of the number of students.
 provided service or assistance by school districts in at-risk studentprograms, school districts shall report the number of students served orassisted in the manner required by the state board.

5

(g) As used in this section:

6 (1) "At-risk educational program" means an at-risk program or
7 service that is identified and approved by the state board as an offers
8 evidence-based best practice pursuant to subsection (d) instruction that is
9 provided to students above and beyond regular educational services;

(2) "evidence-based instruction" means an education delivery system
 based on peer-reviewed research that consistently produces better student
 outcomes over a five-year period than would otherwise be achieved by the
 same students who are receiving at-risk program services; and

(3) "provisional at-risk educational program" means an evidence-14 based at-risk educational program or service identified or developed by a 15 16 school district as producing or likely to produce measurable success that 17 has been submitted to the state board for review pursuant to subsection 18 (d), method or best practice that has shown or is likely to show that such 19 instruction will produce better student outcomes through four or more 20 years of longitudinal results at a school district as evidenced through 21 school district at-risk accountability plans and reports submitted pursuant 22 to section 1. and amendments thereto.

23 Sec. 3. K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-5170 is hereby amended to read as follows: 72-5170. (a) (1) In order to accomplish the mission for Kansas 24 25 education, the state board shall design and adopt a school district accreditation system based upon improvement in performance that equals 26 27 or exceeds the educational goal set forth in K.S.A. 72-3218(c), and 28 amendments thereto, and is measurable. The state board shall hold all school districts accountable through the Kansas education systems 29 accreditation rules and regulations, or any successor accreditation system 30 31 and accountability plan adopted by the state board. The state board also 32 shall ensure that all school districts and the public schools operated by 33 such districts have programs and initiatives in place for providing those 34 educational capacities set forth in K.S.A. 72-3218(c), and amendments 35 thereto. On or before January 15 of each year, the state board shall prepare 36 and submit a report on the school district accreditation system to the 37 governor and the legislature.

(2) The accountability measures established pursuant to paragraph (1)
shall be applied both at the district level and at the school level. Such
accountability measures shall be reported by the state board for each
school district and each school. All reports prepared pursuant to this
section shall be published in accordance with K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-1181,
and amendments thereto.

1 (3) As required by the state board pursuant to K.A.R. 91-31-31 et 2 seq., as in effect on July 1, 2024, for a school district to be and remain 3 accredited pursuant to the state board's accreditation system the school 4 district shall be in compliance with or working with the state board to 5 achieve compliance with all applicable federal and state statutes and rules 6 and regulations.

7 (4) If a school district is not fully accredited and a corrective action 9 plan is required by the state board, such corrective action plan, and any 9 subsequent reports prepared by the state board regarding the progress of 10 such school district in implementing and executing such corrective action 11 plan, shall be published on the state department of education's internet 12 website and such school district's internet website in accordance with 13 K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-1181, and amendments thereto.

14 (4)(5) If a school district is not accredited, the superintendent, or the 15 superintendent's designee, shall appear before the committee on education 16 of the house of representatives and the committee on education of the 17 senate during the regular legislative session that occurs during the same 18 school year-in-which when such school district is not accredited. Such 19 school district shall provide a report to such committees on the challenges 20 and obstacles that are preventing such school district from becoming 21 accredited

22 (b) The state board shall establish curriculum standards that reflect 23 high academic standards for the core academic areas of mathematics, 24 science, reading, writing and social studies. The curriculum standards-shall 25 may be reviewed at least every seven years. The state board shall not 26 revise or update the English language arts or mathematics curriculum 27 standards that are in effect on July 1, 2024, until the state board's long-28 term goal for all students submitted to the United States department of 29 education in the consolidated state plan is achieved such that 75% of all 30 students score in performance levels 3 and 4 combined on the statewide 31 assessments in English language arts and mathematics by 2030. Nothing 32 in this subsection shall be construed in any manner so as to impinge upon 33 any school district's authority to determine its own curriculum.

34 (c) The state board shall provide for statewide assessments in the core 35 academic areas of mathematics, science, reading, writing and social 36 studies. The board shall ensure compatibility between the statewide 37 assessments and the curriculum standards established pursuant to 38 subsection (b). Such assessments shall be administered at three grade 39 levels, as determined by the state board. The state board shall determine 40 performance levels on the statewide assessments, the achievement of 41 which represents high academic standards in the academic area at the grade level to which the assessment applies. The state board should specify 42 43 high academic standards both for individual performance and school

1 performance on the assessments.

2 (d) Each school year, on such date as specified by the state board,
3 each school district shall submit the Kansas education system accreditation
4 report to the state board in such form and manner as prescribed by the state
5 board.

6 Whenever the state board determines that a school district has (e) 7 failed either to meet the accreditation requirements established by rules 8 and regulations or standards adopted by the state board or provide 9 curriculum based on state standards and courses required by state law, the 10 state board shall so notify the school district. Such notice shall specify the accreditation requirements that the school district has failed to meet and 11 12 the curriculum that it has failed to provide. Upon receipt of such notice, 13 the board of education of such school district is encouraged to reallocate 14 the resources of the school district to remedy all deficiencies identified by 15 the state board.

16 (f) Each school in every school district shall establish a school site 17 council composed of the principal and representatives of teachers and 18 other school personnel, parents of students attending the school, the 19 business community and other community groups. School site councils 20 shall be responsible for providing advice and counsel in evaluating state, 21 school district, and school site performance goals and objectives and in 22 determining the methods that should be employed at the school site to 23 meet these goals and objectives. Site councils may make recommendations 24 and proposals to the school board regarding budgetary items and school 25 district matters, including, but not limited to, identifying and implementing 26 the best practices for developing efficient and effective administrative and 27 management functions. Site councils also may help school boards analyze 28 the unique environment of schools, enhance the efficiency and maximize 29 limited resources, including outsourcing arrangements and cooperative 30 opportunities as a means to address limited budgets.

31 Sec. 4. K.S.A. 2023 Supp. 72-5153 and 72-5170 are hereby repealed.

32 Sec. 5. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its 33 publication in the statute book.