State General Fund Revenue Adjustments as related to the State Highway Fund FY 2010 and FY 2011 based on 2010 House Substitute for Senate Bill 572

FY 2011 and FY 2012 based on KDOT FY 2013 Budget and 2011 Senate Sub. for HB 2014

ORKS						d			7	1	I I				b									
1999-2013 TOTAL	St. 2013	ESI. 2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	FΥ			(\$000)					
 \$ 1,752,864					185,899	187,869	196,774	190,213	180,486	179,519	170,070	138,261	109,744	107,910	\$ 106,119	Transfer	(Sales Tax)	Proposed		Sales				
,752,864 \$ 296,136 \$ (1,456,728)												94,288	51,709	62,240	\$ 87,899	Transfer	(Sales Tax)	SGF		Sales Tax Demand Transfer	_		_	
\$ (1,456,728)					(185,899)	(187,869)	(196,774)	(190,213)	(180,486)	(179,519)	(170,070)	(43,973)	(58,035)	(45,670)	\$ (18,220)	(2-1)	Difference			ransfer	5	1		
\$ 2,592,656	311,420	301,612	292,641	259,445	<u> </u>	273,293	158,393	1: 98,914		90,137	89,369	91,611	<u> </u>	88,598	\$ 85,889	S&C Tax	Actual		=	Sal Compensa	4	-		
\$ 2,592,655 \$ 483,147	21,000	21,000			173,112	186,682	60,953						í		es	In S&C	increase			Sales & Compensating Use Tax	0		=	
\$ (125,206) \$										(30,597)		(94,609)	-		65	SGF Loans		==	=	State G	T:			
63,413					,	- 30,896	- 32,517	-		7)	•	9	•	•	49	Repayments	Loan			State General Fund (SGF) Loans	0	=	=	
113 \$ (61,793)	(61,793)	(61,793)	(61,793)	(61,793)	(61,793)	396 (61,793)	17 (92,689)	- (125,206	- (125,206)	- (125,206)	- (94,609)	- (94,609)			\$		Delayed/			GF) Loans				
) \$ 26,576);;)) 16,150) 1 10,426	-) [])::) []			\$	(P + I)	TOTAL	==:	Payments	SGF CTP Bond			117	(In Thousands)
s (25,000)	<u>'</u> _		- (5,000)	- (5,000)	- (5,000)		(5,000)	-	-	-	-		-	-	- 8	Fair Fares	Commerce	==:	=:		-		44)	ds)
\$	- Walter	•		00)				'	1	•	-	,		-	- \$	급.	e Highway Patrol			Transfers from		· · · · · ·	<	
327,622) \$	(52,660)	(32,760)	(36,210)	(36,245)	(37,179)	(30,405)	(30,207)	(34,515)	(35,092)		(2,249)	•	,		÷			Red		ers from the State Highway Fund (SHF)	8			
(327,522) \$ (497,587) \$ (850,109		(205,000)	(149,300)	(143,287)	-		•					,		,	, es			Reduction &		ay Fund (SHF)		<u> </u>	•	
=	(52,660)	(237,760)	(190,510)	(184,532)	(42,179)	(35,405)	(35,207)	(34.515)	(35,092)		(2,249)	.				Transfers	TOTAL				<u> </u>			
1999-2013 RECEIPTS	Est. 2013	Est. 2012	2011	2010	2009	2008			_		2003		Į		1999	FY	4.		(\$000)			52659721		
2,915,368	311,420	301,612	292,641	259,445	268,740	289,443	168,819	98,914	93,353	90,137	89,369	185,899	140,949	150,839	\$				TOTAL	RECEIPTS	(2+4+7)			
1999-2013 NET TOTAL	Est. 2013	Est. 2012	2011	2010		2008					Ī	2002	i		1999	FY			(\$000)	. <u>. 20</u>				
\$ (1,858	(31	(216	(170	(184	(54	10	(128	(224	(215	(210	(172	(138	(59	(45	\$ (18					NET TOTA	(3+5+6+7+			

L. The 2002 Legislature eliminated the FY 2003 Sales Tax demand transfer, the 2003 Legislature eliminated the FY 2004 Sales Tax demand transfer, and the 2004 Legislature eliminated Sales Tax demand transfers for the remainder of the CTP.

II. <1> Prior to FY 2007 the Sales and Compensating Use Tax was 0.25 percent, in 2007 it was increased to 0.38 percent, and then increased again in FY 2008 to 0.55 percent, <2> The Est, FY 2011 Sales and Compensating revenues to the State Highway Fund are increased by \$19.3 million to reflect action taken in 2010 Senate Sub. for HB 2360. 2010 Senate Sub. for HB 2360 horeases the sales/use tax from 5.3 percent to 6.3 percent, for which estimated receipts to the State Highway Fund include \$20.4 million for FY 2011, \$21.0 million for FY 2012, and \$21.0 million for FY 2013. Beginning in FY 2014 the rate will drop back to 5.7 percent, and the State Highway Fund will receive all excess above 5.3 percent

III. A total of \$125.2 million was "borrowed" from the State Highway Fund with arrangement to pay back by from FY 2007 to FY 2010 (includes the 2002 Legislature transfer equal to the FY 2002 sales tax transfer, the 2003 Legislatures transfer to finance a portion of the Department of Revenue's Division of Vehicles, and the 2004 Legislature transfer to finance Highway Petrol State General Fund operations). The first two repayments were made in FY 2007 and FY 2008. As part of the 2009 Session, the FY 2009 payment of \$30.9 million was delayed until FY 2011, and the FY 2010 payment of \$30.9 million was eliminated. The FY 2009 payment that was delayed to FY 2011 was later eliminated as part of 2010 House Substitute for Senate Bill 572.

IV. The 2004 Legislature authorized the issuance of \$210.0 million in State General Fund backed bonds, which appear in the Department of Administration's (DOA) budget. The 2009 Legislature transferred \$25.3 million, all from the State Highway Fund, to the State General Fund to reimburse the State General Fund for FY 2009 and FY 2010 Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP) bond payments (Interest and Principal in FY 2009, and Interest in FY 2010 as the Principal portion was part of the bond restructuring). 2010 House Substitute for Senate Bill 572 continued the transfer of \$25.0 million to reimburse the State General Fund for CTP bond payments

Fund annually from FY 2007 to FY 2011. For FY 2012 the program is continued, but through a transfer of \$5.0 million from the Economic Development Initiatives Fund (EDIF) <2> The State Highway Fund transfer to the State General Fund to finance Highway Patrol operations. "For FY 2013, the Governor's recommends that the Highway Patrol's funding no longer be partly provided through a transfer from the Highway Fund to the State General Fund plus a direct transfer, but now provides all State Operations funding through a V. Transfers from the State Highway Fund include: <1> Affordable Airfrae Fund: 2006 House Substitute for Senate Bill 475 created the State Affordable Airfrae Fund in the Department of Commerce, funded through a transfer of \$5,0 million from the State Highway direct transfer from the State Highway Fund.

VI. Transfers from the State Highway Fund to the State General Fund:

The 2010 Legislature approved expenditure reductions and transfers to the State General Fund from the State Highway Fund, which include:

principal and interest payments on CTP bonds (as part of 2009 Senate Substitute for House Bill 2373); <3> \$28.0 million captured through additional project preservation letting reductions (totaling \$86.5 million) (recommended as part of the Governor's "Budget Balancing Plan" announced March 5, 2010); <4> \$10.0 million transferred as part of \$11.0 million in federal funding anticipated to be received by the State as part of the passage of a primary seatbelt law (2010 HB 2130).

B. (FY 2011) <1> \$80.0 million captured through recommended reductions and existing State Highway Fund balance; <2> \$25.0 million to reimburse the State General Fund for debt service principal and interest payments on CTP bonds. <3> \$44.3 million A. (FY 2010) <1> \$80.0 million captured through reductions and existing State Highway Fund balance (recommended to the Legislature as part of the 2009 July and November allotments); <2> \$72.5 million to reimburse the State General Fund for debt services

ecommended to be transferred, and would capture FY 2011 savings for \$86.5 million in preservation projects that were not let as part of the Governor's Budget Amendment No. 1, Item 5 for FY 2010.

The 2011 Legislative approved the transfer of \$205.0 million from the State Highway Fund to the State General Fund for FY 2012:

A. (FY 2012) <1> The Governor's FY 2012 recommendation included the transfer of \$200.0 million from the State Highway Fund to the State General Fund. In order to capture the necessary savings for the FY 2012 transfer, Preservation projects were reduced by \$20.0 million in both FY 2011 and FY 2012. The agency provided information stating that this transfer was possible due in part to lower than expected bid prices and low inflation, however, the agency has advanced its bonding schedule and will issue \$50.0 million in both FY 2012. Prior to the transfer, no bonds were scheduled to be issued for FY 2012; <2> The final 2011 Appropriations Bill (Senate Sub for HB 2014) increased the total transfer by \$5.0 million to \$205.0 million from the State Highway Fund to the State General Fund. The additional \$5.0 million was to capture administrative savings experienced by the agency in FY 2011

CTP = Comprehensive Transportation Plan
SGF = State General Fund
P + | = Principal and Interest
SHF = State Highway Fund

Kansas Legislalive Research Department

Senate

2/18/2012

Transp.