Session of 2011

SENATE BILL No. 158

By Committee on Utilities

2-9

AN ACT concerning civil actions; relating to damages; enacting the full 1 and fair noneconomic damages act; amending K.S.A. 60-3702 and 2 3 repealing the existing section. 4 5 Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Kansas: New Section 1. (a) This section may be cited as the full and fair 6 7 noneconomic damages act. 8 (b) The legislature of the state of Kansas hereby finds and declares 9 that. 10 (1) The purpose of this act is to ensure that individuals receive full and fair compensatory damages, including damages for pain and 11 12 suffering. 13 (2) Pain and suffering awards are intended to provide an injured 14 person with compensation for the pain and suffering resulting from the 15 injury at issue in a particular lawsuit. (3) Punitive damages are intended to punish a defendant for 16 wrongful conduct. Punitive damages are subject to certain statutory 17 requirements, must be based on the appropriate evidence, and must be in 18 19 accordance with the constitutional jurisprudence of the supreme court of 20 the United States 21 (4) Pain and suffering awards are distinct from punitive damages. Pain and suffering awards are intended to compensate a person for their 22 23 loss. They are not intended to punish a defendant for wrongful conduct. 24 (5) For that reason, evidence that juries may consider in awarding 25 pain and suffering damages is different from evidence courts may consider for punitive damages. For example, the amount of a plaintiff's 26 pain and suffering is not relevant to a decision on wrongdoing, and the 27 degree of the defendant's wrongdoing is not relevant to the amount of 28 29 pain and suffering. The size of noneconomic damage awards, which includes pain 30 (6) and suffering, has increased dramatically in recent years. While pain and 31 suffering awards are inherently subjective, it is believed that this inflation 32 of noneconomic damages is partially due to the improper consideration of 33 evidence of wrongdoing in assessing pain and suffering damages. 34 (7) Inflated damage awards create an improper resolution of civil 35 36 justice claims. The increased and improper costs of litigation and

1 resulting rise in insurance premiums is passed on to the general public 2 through higher prices for products and services.

(8) Therefore, courts should provide juries with clear instructions 3 about the purpose of pain and suffering damages. Courts should instruct 4 juries that evidence of misconduct is not to be considered in deciding 5 compensation for noneconomic damages. Rather, it is to be considered 6 7 solely for the purpose of deciding punitive damage awards.

8 (9) In cases in which punitive damages are requested, defendants 9 should have the right to request bifurcation of a trial to ensure that evidence of misconduct is not inappropriately considered by the jury in 10 its determination of liability and compensatory damages. 11

(10) As an additional protection, trial and appellate courts should 12 rigorously review pain and suffering awards to ensure that they properly 13 serve compensatory purposes and are not excessive. 14

15

As used in this section: (c)

"Noneconomic damages" which are recoverable in tort actions 16 (1)17 include damages awarded for the purpose of compensating a claimant for 18 physical pain and suffering, mental or emotional pain or anguish, loss of 19 consortium, disfigurement, physical impairment, loss of companionship and society, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life and all other 20 nonpecuniary losses other than exemplary or punitive damages. 21

(2) Pain and suffering is one type of noneconomic damage and 22 23 means the actual physical pain and suffering that is the proximate result of a physical injury sustained by a person. 24

(3) "Exemplary damages" means any damages awarded as a penalty or 25 by way of punishment but not for compensatory purposes. Exemplary 26 damages are neither economic nor noneconomic damages. "Exemplary 27 28 damages" includes punitive damages.

29 (d) In determining noneconomic damages, the fact finder may not 30 consider:

31 (1) Evidence of a defendant's alleged wrongdoing, misconduct, or 32 guilt; 33

evidence of the defendant's wealth or financial resources; or (2)

(3) any other evidence that is offered for the purpose of punishing 34 the defendant, rather than offered for a compensatory purpose. 35

(e) (1) All actions tried before a jury involving punitive damages 36 37 shall, if requested by any defendant, be conducted in a bifurcated trial before the same jury. 38

39 (2) In the first stage of a bifurcated trial, the jury shall determine liability for compensatory damages and the amount of compensatory 40 damages or nominal damages. Evidence relevant only to the issues of 41 punitive damages shall not be admissible in this stage. 42

43 (3) Punitive damages may be awarded only if compensatory 3

damages have been awarded in the first stage of the trial. An award of
 nominal damages cannot support an award of punitive damages.

3 (4) In the second stage of a bifurcated trial, the jury shall determine 4 if a defendant is liable for punitive damages. The jury may consider the 5 factors listed in subsection (b) of section 2, and amendments thereto.

6 (f) (1) Upon post-judgment motion, a trial court shall perform a 7 rigorous analysis of the evidence supporting a noneconomic damages 8 award challenged as excessive. Such analysis shall consider the following 9 nonexclusive factors:

(A) Whether the evidence presented or the arguments of counsel
 resulted in one or more of the following events in the determination of a
 noneconomic damage award:

13

14

(i) Inflamed the passion or prejudice of the trier of fact;

(ii) improper consideration of the wealth of the defendant; or

(iii) improper consideration of the misconduct of the defendant so as
to punish the defendant in circumvention of the limitation on punitive
damage awards provided by section 2, and amendments thereto, or
constitutional standards applicable to punitive damage awards;

(B) whether the verdict is in excess of verdicts involving comparableinjuries to similarly situated plaintiffs; and

(C) whether there were any extraordinary circumstances in the
 record to account for an award in excess of what was granted by courts to
 similarly situated plaintiffs, with consideration to the injury type, severity
 of injury, and the plaintiff's age.

(2) A trial court upholding a noneconomic damages award
 challenged as excessive shall set forth in writing its reasons for upholding
 the award.

(3) A reviewing court shall use a de novo standard of review when
 considering an appeal of a noneconomic damages award on the grounds
 of excessiveness.

(g) The provisions of this section shall apply only to an action basedupon a cause of action accruing on or after July 1, 2011.

New Sec. 2. (a) Except as provided in section 1, and amendmentsthereto:

(1) In any civil action in which exemplary or punitive damages are
 recoverable, the trier of fact shall determine, concurrent with all other
 issues presented, whether such damages shall be allowed.

(2) If such damages are allowed, a separate proceeding shall be
 conducted by the court to determine the amount of such damages to be
 awarded.

41 (b) At a proceeding to determine the amount of exemplary or 42 punitive damages to be awarded under this section, the court may 43 consider:

SB 158

4

1 (1) The likelihood at the time of the alleged misconduct that serious 2 harm would arise from the defendant's misconduct;

(2) the degree of the defendant's awareness of that likelihood;

(3) the profitability of the defendant's misconduct;

5 (4) the duration of the misconduct and any intentional concealment 6 of it;

7 (5) the attitude and conduct of the defendant upon discovery of the 8 misconduct;

9

3

4

(6) the financial condition of the defendant; and

10 (7) the total deterrent effect of other damages and punishment 11 imposed upon the defendant as a result of the misconduct, including, but 12 not limited to, compensatory, exemplary and punitive damage awards to 13 persons in situations similar to those of the claimant and the severity of 14 the criminal penalties to which the defendant has been or may be 15 subjected.

At the conclusion of the proceeding, the court shall determine the amount of exemplary or punitive damages to be awarded and shall enter judgment for that amount.

(c) In any civil action where claims for exemplary or punitive damages are included, the plaintiff shall have the burden of proving, by clear and convincing evidence in the initial phase of the trial, that the defendant acted toward the plaintiff with willful conduct, wanton conduct, fraud or malice.

(d) In no case shall exemplary or punitive damages be assessedpursuant to this section or section 1, and amendments thereto, against:

26 (1) A principal or employer for the acts of an agent or employee
27 unless the questioned conduct was authorized or ratified by a person
28 expressly empowered to do so on behalf of the principal or employer; or

(2) an association, partnership or corporation for the acts of a
 member, partner or shareholder unless such association, partnership or
 corporation authorized or ratified the questioned conduct.

(e) Except as provided by subsection (f), no award of exemplary or
 punitive damages pursuant to this section or section 1, and amendments
 thereto, shall exceed the lesser of:

(1) The annual gross income earned by the defendant, as determined by the court based upon the defendant's highest gross annual income earned for any one of the five years immediately before the act for which such damages are awarded, unless the court determines such amount is clearly inadequate to penalize the defendant, then the court may award up to 50% of the net worth of the defendant, as determined by the court; or

(2) \$5 million.

41

42 (f) In lieu of the limitation provided by subsection (e), if the court, 43 pursuant to this section, or the jury, pursuant to section 1, and amendments thereto, finds that the profitability of the defendant's misconduct exceeds or is expected to exceed the limitation of subsection (e), the limitation on the amount of exemplary or punitive damages which the court or the jury may award shall be an amount equal to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times the amount of profit which the defendant gained or is expected to gain as a result of the defendant's misconduct.

7 (g) As used in this section the terms defined in K.S.A. 60-3401, and 8 amendments thereto, shall have the meaning provided by that statute.

9 (h) The provisions of this section shall apply only to an action based 10 upon a cause of action accruing on or after July 1, 2011.

Sec. 3. K.S.A. 60-3702 is hereby amended to read as follows: 60-3702. (a) In any civil action in which exemplary or punitive damages are recoverable, the trier of fact shall determine, concurrent with all other issues presented, whether such damages shall be allowed. If such damages are allowed, a separate proceeding shall be conducted by the court to determine the amount of such damages to be awarded.

(b) At a proceeding to determine the amount of exemplary or
 punitive damages to be awarded under this section, the court may
 consider:

20 (1) The likelihood at the time of the alleged misconduct that serious21 harm would arise from the defendant's misconduct;

22 23 (2) the degree of the defendant's awareness of that likelihood;

(3) the profitability of the defendant's misconduct;

24 (4) the duration of the misconduct and any intentional concealment25 of it;

(5) the attitude and conduct of the defendant upon discovery of themisconduct;

28

(6) the financial condition of the defendant; and

(7) the total deterrent effect of other damages and punishment imposed upon the defendant as a result of the misconduct, including, but not limited to, compensatory, exemplary and punitive damage awards to persons in situations similar to those of the claimant and the severity of the criminal penalties to which the defendant has been or may be subjected.

At the conclusion of the proceeding, the court shall determine the amount of exemplary or punitive damages to be awarded and shall enter judgment for that amount.

(c) In any civil action where claims for exemplary or punitive damages are included, the plaintiff shall have the burden of proving, by clear and convincing evidence in the initial phase of the trial, that the defendant acted toward the plaintiff with willful conduct, wanton conduct, fraud or malice.

43

(d) In no case shall exemplary or punitive damages be assessed

1 pursuant to this section against:

2 (1) A principal or employer for the acts of an agent or employee
3 unless the questioned conduct was authorized or ratified by a person
4 expressly empowered to do so on behalf of the principal or employer; or

5 (2) an association, partnership or corporation for the acts of a 6 member, partner or shareholder unless such association, partnership or 7 corporation authorized or ratified the questioned conduct.

8 (e) Except as provided by subsection (f), no award of exemplary or 9 punitive damages pursuant to this section shall exceed the lesser of:

10 (1) The annual gross income earned by the defendant, as determined 11 by the court based upon the defendant's highest gross annual income 12 earned for any one of the five years immediately before the act for which 13 such damages are awarded, unless the court determines such amount is 14 clearly inadequate to penalize the defendant, then the court may award up 15 to 50% of the net worth of the defendant, as determined by the court; or

16 (2) \$5 million.

17 (f) In lieu of the limitation provided by subsection (e), if the court 18 finds that the profitability of the defendant's misconduct exceeds or is 19 expected to exceed the limitation of subsection (e), the limitation on the 20 amount of exemplary or punitive damages which the court may award 21 shall be an amount equal to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times the amount of profit which the 22 defendant gained or is expected to gain as a result of the defendant's 23 misconduct.

(g) As used in this section the terms defined in K.S.A. 60-3401, and
 amendments thereto, shall have the meaning provided by that statute.

(h) The provisions of this section shall apply only to an action based
upon a cause of action accruing on or after July 1, 1988, and before July *1*, 2011.

29 Sec. 4. K.S.A. 60-3702 is hereby repealed.

30 Sec. 5. This act shall take effect and be in force from and after its 31 publication in the statute book.

- 32
- 33