SESSION OF 2014

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 2436

As Recommended by House Committee on Vision 2020

Brief*

Sub. for HB 2436 would amend law related to the Board of Cosmetology and the Board of Barbering to allow the chairpersons of the boards, with the approval of each respective board, to enter into an agreement as to which board’s inspectors shall inspect a dual-licensed salon and barber shop.

Designated inspectors would perform all duties required by both boards and by sanitation standards adopted by the Secretary of Health and Environment. Additionally, designated inspectors would be trained by both boards. Currently, dual-licensed salons and barber shops are inspected by inspectors from both boards.

Background

The original bill was introduced by the House Committee on Vision 2020. Representatives of the Board of Barbering and the Board of Cosmetology, testifying in support of the original bill, each stated that the bill would remove duplicate inspections presently required.

There was no opponent testimony at the hearing on the original bill.

*Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at http://www.kslegislature.org
The House Committee on Vision 2020 amended the bill by striking language that would have amended law applicable to the Board of Cosmetology and replacing it with language creating a new statute having the same effect as the proposed amendment.

Upon rereferral of the bill, the House Committee on Vision 2020 substituted a new bill to amend law related to the Board of Cosmetology and the Board of Barbering to have the same effect as the introduced version of the bill.

No fiscal note was available on the substitute bill. The fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget on the bill, as introduced, indicates the bill would not have an effect on the state’s revenues or expenditures. The fiscal note also indicates there would be some savings from having dual-licensed facilities inspected by a single agency, but neither agency can provide an estimate of savings until an agreement is reached.