Approved: <u>3/22/2010</u> Date

MINUTES OF THE HOUSE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Lance Kinzer at 3:30 p.m. on March 2, 2010, in Room 346-S of the Capitol.

All members were present except:

Representative Raj Goyle- excused Representative Milack Talia- excused Representative Jim Ward- excused Representative Kevin Yoder- excused

Committee staff present:

Jason Long, Office of the Revisor of Statutes Matt Sterling, Office of the Revisor of Statutes Jill Wolters, Office of the Revisor of Statutes Athena Andaya, Kansas Legislative Research Department Lauren Douglass, Kansas Legislative Research Department Sue VonFeldt, Committee Assistant

Conferees appearing before the Committee: Chris Swickard, Kansas Bar Association

Others attending: See attached list.

The hearing on <u>SB 398 - Indemnification; amendments to certificate of incorporation or corporate</u> <u>bylaws</u> was opened.

Jason Long, from the Office of Revisor of Statutes, presented an overview of the bill to the committee that amends K.S.A. 17-6305, which governs the indemnification by a corporation of its directors, officers, employees and agents. Whenever such an individual acts in good faith and with reasonable belief they were acting lawfully and in the best interests of the corporation, the corporation may pay such individual's expenses, judgments, fines or settlement amounts arising out of a lawsuit against the individual based on those actions. This bill amends the statute to protect the individual's right to indemnification from being eliminated by the corporation. (Attachment 1)

Chris Swickard, on behalf of the Kansas Bar Association, appeared before the committee as a proponent and stated the Kansas Bar Association Corporate Counsel Section requested this bill to address issues raised by a recent Delaware court case of *Schoon v Troy Corp*. He also explained the Delaware General Corporation Code was amended in a manner that effectively reverses the outcome in *Schoon* and that amendment was effective August 1, 2009. Since Kansas indemnification statute, K.S.A. 17-6305 is patterned off the Delaware statute, this bill adds the same language to the Kansas statute, at subsection (f), as was added to the Delaware statute. He also stated these changes are important to Kansas corporations because they allow us to remain competitive in the recruitment of senior officers and directors for our companies. (Attachment 2)

There were no opponents.

The hearing on **SB 398** was closed.

The hearing on <u>SB 376 - Changing the name of the act for judicial review and civil enforcement of agency actions to the Kansas judicial review act was opened.</u>

Matt Sterling, from the Office of Revisor of Statutes, presented an overview of the bill to the committee which amends a number of statutes that referred to the act for judicial review and civil enforcement of agency actions, K.S.A. 77-601 et seq. During the 2009 Legislative session, <u>SB 87</u> was passed, amending the act for judicial review and civil enforcement of agency actions and changing the name of the act to the Kansas Judicial Review Act. However, in changing the name of the act, there was no change made to the references to the act in other statutes. <u>SB 376</u> changes all the references to the act for judicial review and civil enforcement of references to the new name of the act, the Kansas Judicial Review Act.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Minutes of the House Judiciary Committee at 3:30 p.m. on March 2, 2010, in Room 346-S of the Capitol.

Act. (<u>Attachment 3</u>)

There were no proponents and there were no opponents since this was basically a revisor's correction to change the references to refer to the new name of the act.

After a brief discussion among the members of the committee, the hearing on <u>SB 376</u> was closed.

The next meeting is scheduled for March 3, 2010.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:52 p.m.

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE GUEST LIST

NAME REPRESENTING Kansas Bar Association Thris Swickard 166 BAR ALL 55-gol Mohn KS Da Asso. Notor Jam LEAN MILLER CAPITOL STRATEGIES Rep. Carl Holmes Austin La Frentire KDOT ____ ete Bodyk & Rausch Intern-Talia atrick Vogelsberg Kearney and Associates Judicial Branch ave Wilse

DATE: 3-2-10

MARY ANN TORRENCE, ATTORNEY REVISOR OF STATUTES JAMES A. WILSON III, ATTORNEY FIRST ASSISTANT REVISOR GORDON L. SELF, ATTORNEY

FIRST ASSISTANT REVISOR



OFFICE OF REVISOR OF STATUTES KANSAS LEGISLATURE

Legal Consultation-Legislative Committees and Legislators Legislative Bill Drafting Legislative Committee Staff Secretary-Legislative Coordinating Council Kansas Commission on Interstate Cooperation Kansas Statutes Annotated Editing and Publication Legislative Information System

<u>Brief on Senate Bill 398</u> Right of Indemnification by a Corporation

> Jason B. Long Assistant Revisor Office of Revisor of Statutes

> > March 2, 2010

SB 398 amends K.S.A. 17-6305, which governs the indemnification by a corporation of its directors, officers, employees and agents. Whenever such an individual acts in goods faith and with a reasonable belief they were acting lawfully and in the best interests of the corporation, the corporation may pay such individual's expenses, judgments, fines or settlement amounts arising out of a lawsuit against the individual based on those actions. The bill amends the statute to protect the individual's right to indemnification from being eliminated by the corporation.

The bill is in response to the Delaware court case of *Schoon v. Troy Corp.* In that case a director of the defendant corporation resigned. Soon afterwards the corporation amended its bylaws to eliminate the right of a former director to indemnification by the corporation. After the bylaw amendment became effective the corporation sued the former director for breach of fiduciary duty. The former director sought indemnification by the corporation. The Delaware court held that the right of indemnification did not vest until a claim was brought against the former director. Since the bylaw was amended prior to the claim being brought, the corporation could eliminate the right of indemnification by amending its bylaws, and therefore, was not obligated to indemnify the former director.

Attachment #



TO: The Honorable Lance Kinzer, Chair And members of the House Judiciary Committee

FROM: Chris Swickard On behalf of the Kansas Bar Association

RE: SB 398 – Indemnification of corporate officers

DATE: March 2, 2010

Chairman Kinzer and Members of the House Judiciary Committee my name is Chris Swickard and I appear before you today on behalf of the Kansas Bar Association in support of SB 398. Senate Bill 398 was proposed by the Kansas Bar Association Corporate Counsel Section to address issues raised by a recent Delaware court case. As you know, the Kansas Corporation Code is modeled after the Delaware Code. The proposed amendments are will bring the Kansas Corporation Code back into conformance with the Delaware Code on the issues raised in the court case.

The case at issue is *Schoon et. al. v. Troy Corporation*, the Delaware Court of Chancery, where the court determined that a company's bylaws may be amended to deprive a director or officer of indemnification rights in respect of a claim arising out of conduct that occurred prior to such an amendment, so long as the proceeding is commenced after the bylaw is amended.

In the *Schoon* case, a director of Troy Corporation, a Delaware corporation, resigned. Later that year, Troy amended its bylaws to eliminate the right of *former* directors to advancement of expenses incurred to defend claims. A few months after amending the bylaws, Troy sued the director for breach of fiduciary duty. The director asked Troy to advance his defense costs. Troy declined, the director sued and lost. The Chancery Court held that while a bylaw amendment cannot rescind a vested contract right, the director's right to advancement in this case was not vested at the time of Troy's bylaw amendment because no claim had been made against the director at that time.

The Delaware General Corporation Code was amended in a manner that effectively reverses the outcome in *Schoon*. I have included a copy of the Delaware amendment for your comparison to Senate Bill 398. The Delaware amendment was effected by adding the following sentence to the end of section 145(f) of the DGCL:

House Judiciary Date <u>3-2-10</u> Attachment # 2 "A right to indemnification or to advancement of expenses arising under a provision of the certificate of incorporation or a bylaw shall not be eliminated or impaired by an amendment to such provision after the occurrence of the act or omission that is the subject of the civil, criminal, administrative or investigative action, suit or proceeding for which indemnification or advancement of expenses is sought, unless the provision in effect at the time of such act or omission explicitly authorizes such elimination or impairment after such action or omission has occurred."

The amendment became effective on August 1, 2009.

The Kansas indemnification statute, K.S.A. 17-6305, is patterned off the Delaware statute. Senate Bill 398 adds the same language to the Kansas statute (at subsection (f) of K.S.A. 17-6305) as was added to the Delaware statute.

These changes are important to Kansas Corporations because they allow us to remain competitive in the recruitment of senior officers and directors for our companies.

We encourage you to adopt Senate Bill 398.

Thank you.

ARY ANN TORRENCE, ATTORNEY REVISOR OF STATUTES

JAMES A. WILSON III, ATTORNEY FIRST ASSISTANT REVISOR

GORDON L. SELF, ATTORNEY FIRST ASSISTANT REVISOR



OFFICE OF REVISOR OF STATUTES KANSAS LEGISLATURE

Legal Consultation-Legislative Committees and Legislators Legislative Bill Drafting Legislative Committee Staff Secretary-Legislative Coordinating Council Kansas Commission on Interstate Cooperation Kansas Statutes Annotated Editing and Publication Legislative Information System

MEMORANDUM

To:	Chairman Kinzer and members of the House Committee on Judiciary
From:	Matt Sterling, Assistant Revisor of Statutes
Date:	March 2, 2010
Subject:	Senate Bill No. 376

SB 376 amends a number of statutes that referred to the act for judicial review and civil enforcement of agency actions, K.S.A. 77-601 et seq. During the 2009 legislative session, the Legislature passed SB 87, amending the act for judicial review and civil enforcement of agency actions and changing the name of the act to the Kansas Judicial Review Act. However, in changing the name of the act, there was no change made to the references to the act in other statutes. SB 376 changes all the references to the act for judicial review and civil enforcement of agency actions in statute to refer to the new name of the act, the Kansas Judicial Review Act.

> 300 SW TENTH AVE - STE 010-E, Statehouse-TOPEKA, KANSAS 6 House Judiciary FAX (785) 296-6668 PHONE (785) 296-2321

E-mail: Revisor'sOI Date 3-2-10 Attachment # 🕄