

The Alliance for Kansans with Developmental Disabilities

c/o 501 E. Northview Ave., McPherson KS 67460

2/9/17

Madam Chairperson Schmidt and members of the Public Health and Welfare Committee, thank you for the opportunity today to testify in opposition to SB 332. My name is Sheila Goscha. I am the President of The Alliance for Kansans with Developmental Disabilities. I also work for Disability Supports of the Great Plains, where I am the Director of Operations in McPherson County. Alliance members support adults with Intellectual or Developmental Disabilities (I/DD) in services which include Targeted Case Management, Residential and Day Services. Currently Alliance members support individuals residing in 9 CDDO regions; Shawnee, Cowley, McPherson, Brown, Reno, Jackson, Osage, Lyon, Coffey and Butler counties, supporting over 400 adults. One of our Alliance member provides pharmaceutical services to individuals with I/DD across the State of Kansas. We have specialized in serving many individuals in the State.

We have several concerns about SB332:

- As a major stakeholder, we were not consulted in the development of this bill. The lack of stakeholders outside of Interhab involved in development of this bill is of great concern.
- The system no longer exists within the State to operate the waiver program as it
 was pre-Managed Care. I feel strongly that Community Developmental Disability
 Organizations (CDDOs) could not and should not take on the system as proposed
 in this bill. Most CDDOs do not function independently, creating a conflict of
 interest. Most CDDOs are also Community Service Providers (CSP). One CSP
 should not oversee the funding, operations and referrals of another CSP.
- CSPs operating in multiple CDDO areas such as ourselves should not have to function within the varying rules, procedures and requirements of various CDDOs.
 All administrative duties should be managed in a manner that benefits all persons served regardless of the CSP without regard to financial impact to the CDDO.

The Alliance for Kansans with Developmental Disabilities supports the concept of carving I/DD services out of KanCare. Across the country, different models are in place to support this population. It is essential that Kansas develop a system that works best for its citizens with I/DD. It is going to take time to research and develop that system. The delay on KanCare 2.0 should provide all stakeholders the opportunity to develop a successful alternative system.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sheila Goscha President