SESSION OF 2017

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON SENATE SUBSTITUTE FOR
HOUSE BILL NO. 2053

As Recommended by Senate Committee on
Judiciary

Brief*

Senate Sub. for HB 2053 would enact the Asbestos
Bankruptcy Trust Claims Transparency Act (Act), which would
require plaintiffs to provide certain statements and materials
within 30 days of filing an asbestos action or within 30 days
after the effective date of the Act. The bill would define
“asbestos action” to mean a claim for damages or other civil
or equitable relief presented in a civil action arising out of,
based on, or related to the health effects of exposure to
asbestos and any other derivative claim made by or on behalf
of a person exposed to asbestos or a representative, spouse,
parent, child, or other relative of that person. Specifically, a
plaintiff would be required to provide a sworn statement
indicating an investigation of all asbestos trust claims has
been conducted and all asbestos trust claims that can be
made by the plaintiff have been filed, as well as all trust
claims materials.

The bill would also require a plaintiff to supplement the
information and materials within 30 days after the plaintiff, or
a person on the plaintiff’s behalf, supplements an existing
asbestos trust claim, receives additional information or
materials related to such a claim, or files an additional
asbestos trust claim. If those requirements are met, the bill
would specify the action could not be set for trial for at least
180 days. If the requirements are not met, the court could
dismiss the action.

*Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research
Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental
note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at
http://www.kslegislature.org
The bill would outline circumstances under which a defendant could file and the court could grant a motion for stay. Additionally, the bill would establish evidentiary standards for asbestos actions, allow a court to impose sanctions and reopen judgments in some circumstances, and require defendants and judgment debtors to act within a year of when a judgment was entered.

The bill would be applicable to all asbestos actions filed on or after July 1, 2017, and any pending action in which a trial has not commenced as of that date. The Act could also be applied prospectively only if the application of a provision in the Act would unconstitutionally affect a vested right.

Background

As introduced, amended by the House Committee on Judiciary, and heard by the Senate Committee on Judiciary, HB 2053 would have amended law related to the collection of debts owed to courts. The Senate Committee on Judiciary placed a modified version of the language of HB 2053 into HB 2041 and recommended a substitute bill for HB 2053 containing the language of SB 73, enacting the Asbestos Bankruptcy Trust Claims Transparency Act.

SB 73

The bill was requested by the Kansas Chamber. In the Senate Committee on Judiciary hearing, representatives of the Kansas Chamber and the U.S. Chamber Institute for Legal Reform appeared in support of the bill, explaining the bill would provide clear guidelines for this specialized area of litigation. Representatives of Americans for Prosperity, the Kansas Association of Property and Casualty Insurance Companies, and the National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies provided written-only testimony in support of the bill. A representative of the Kansas Trial Lawyers Association appeared as an opponent, stating that in creating unique procedural exceptions that delay claims, the bill would further
reduce the accountability of asbestos companies to Kansans. Additionally, the representative indicated there has not been a flood of litigation in Kansas that would justify the need for these specific procedures to be in place. An attorney who represents victims of asbestos exposure and representatives of the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations and the Military Order of the Purple Heart submitted written-only opponent testimony.

SB 73 was recommended for passage by the Senate Committee on Judiciary on February 8 and was withdrawn from the Senate Calendar and rereferred to the Senate Committee on Judiciary on March 21.

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget, the Office of Judicial Administration indicates enactment of SB 73 could increase Judicial Branch expenditures from additional staff time spent conducting and processing asbestos cases; however, a precise impact could not be given.