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Chairman Johnson and Members of the Committee,

We appreciate this opportunity to submit testimony in support of HB 2005, which allows Kansans to itemize on their Kansas return regardless of the deduction taken on their federal return. Our primary support covers three topics:

1. HB 2005 returns tax dollars never intended for state coffers  
3. HB 2005 provides tax relief to low-income Kansans  
4. Passing HB 2005 will prevent an annual tax increase trap  
5. HB 2005 is a step towards tax competitiveness & improved Kansas economic standing.

HB 2005 returns tax dollars never intended for state coffers

The best way to understand the purpose of HB 2005 is to grasp how the Federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA) affected state law and Kansan’s taxes. The TCJA lowered provided tax relief for businesses and families, but also eliminated some exemptions at the state level.¹ In other words, the TCJA lowered Kansans’ federal taxes but inadvertently raised their state taxes. Like someone finding a dropped wallet on the sidewalk, the state government inherited higher tax revenues it was never intended to receive. HB 2005 is a step to remedy the mistake, to return the wallet to its rightful owner.

November 2019 Consensus Revenue Estimates makes HB 2005 budget-neutral

In November 2019, the Consensus Estimating Group (CRE) raised the State General Fund revenue estimate for the fiscal year 2020 and fiscal year 2021.² For the fiscal year 2020, the CRE predicted the state general fund would see an additional $220 million, or 3.0% above the previous estimate. The fiscal year 2021 is slated to grow another 3.0% over 2020. This means that as long as the budget remains flat, it is possible to provide tax relief without cutting funding towards any state program. As long as spending is kept in check, HB 2005 is budget neutral policy consideration.

HB 2005 provides tax relief to low-income Kansans

Governor Kelly twice vetoed similar legislation to HB 2005 that would have prevented a tax increase on roughly 90,000 Kansans. To make matters worse, data from the Kansas Department of Revenue (KDOR) shows that lower-income Kansans had a higher effective tax rate increase than those with higher incomes. A breakdown of the Kansans affected by the windfall legislation show

• Married filers with Federal Adjusted Gross Income (income column) between $60,000 and $90,000 had a 15.3% tax hike though their income fell by 0.7%.
• Married taxpayers making above $150,000 saw a 12.5% tax increase while their income fell by 0.1%.
• Single filers making between $30,000 and $60,000 had a 14.2% tax increase, yet their income fell by 0.2%.
• Single taxpayers making over $150,000 had a 9% tax increase while their income fell by 4%.

Passing HB 2005 will provide tax relief to 90,000 Kansans, with more aid going towards the lower income.

Without HB 2005, more Kansans will see a tax increase every year

According to federal law, the federal standard deduction will increase with inflation every year. However, the federal itemized deductions shall remain constant. These federal item trends mean every year the federal standard deduction becomes more attractive. It thereby entices more Kansans to take the federal standard deduction and facing a state tax hike from it.
KDOR pulled Kansas taxpayers with federal itemized deductions that fall between the current federal deduction and the escalator slated for next year. The last two years of data suggest roughly 5,300 Kansas filers are at risk. That’s 6% more than the 90,000 that fell into the tax increase trap the previous year. If these taxpayers switch and take the new federal standard deduction, then the state tax hike issue will compound. Roughly 5,300 Kansas filers could fall into a tax increase trap every year unless this committee passes HB 2005.

**HB 2005 is a step towards tax competitiveness & improved Kansas economic standing.**

Having so many tax increases and relatively high rates encourages companies and people to leave and discourage others from moving to Kansas. That’s especially important because Kansas has been falling farther behind the nation in private-sector job growth and GDP growth. In fact, in 2019 alone, Kansas has been on the wrong side of growing alongside its peers.

1st Quarter of 2019³
- Kansas economy ranked the 46th fastest in the nation in the 1st quarter of 2019
- Kansas government ranked the 10th fastest in the nation in the 1st quarter of 2019

2nd Quarter of 2019⁴
- Kansas economy ranked the 49th fastest in the nation in the 2nd quarter of 2019
- Kansas government ranked the 15th fastest in the nation in the 2nd quarter of 2019

3rd Quarter of 2019⁵
- Kansas economy ranked the 45th fastest in the nation in the 3rd quarter of 2019
- Kansas government ranked the 11th fastest in the nation in the 3rd quarter of 2019

2019 Overall
- Kiplinger, a business new periodical, named Kansas as the 10th least tax-friendly state in 2019⁶
- Kiplinger named Kansas as the 3rd least tax-friendly state for retirees in 2019⁷
- Tax Foundation named Kansas as the 34th best Business Tax Climate in 2019⁸

---
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Had Kansas grown at the national average, the state would have roughly 160,000 more jobs and the economy would have an extra $29 billion in economic activity. Just think what that would mean for Kansas families. HB 2005 increasing the take-home pay of hard-working Kansans is a step in restoring those jobs and wage losses. HB 2005 helps Kansans keep more of their income, giving a better opportunity for them to raise wages, grow jobs, and spur capital investment.

For these reasons, we encourage the Committee to pass HB 2005 and thank the members for their consideration.