

Institute for Justice's Testimony in Support of SB 348

March 7, 2022

Dear Chairwoman Landwehr and Members of the House Health and Human Services committee:

My name is Jessica Poitras, and I am Legislative Counsel at the Institute for Justice. The Institute for Justice is a national nonprofit public interest law firm that works to protect civil liberties, including economic liberty. For nearly 30 years, we have helped reform beauty industry licensing laws in over 24 states through litigation and legislative efforts.

The Institute for Justice supports SB 348 for three reasons. First, threading is safe. It poses no risks to consumers. Threading does not use any chemicals or dangerous mechanical devices. Threaders simply use a piece of cotton thread and their hands to form loops around hairs to remove them from the follicle. Nationwide, there have been very few complaints filed with state boards of cosmetology about health and safety issues related to threading. Threading does not present a public health or safety risk, and licensing threaders does not provide more protection for consumers.

Second, esthetician program curricula rarely covers threading skills. Threading is a traditional grooming technique that comes from South Asia and the Middle East. It is a cultural practice that is passed down from generation to generation through family and friends. Threaders train and practice their skills outside of traditional classroom settings. Yet Kansas law requires threaders to attend esthetician school to become licensed. Threaders are required to spend thousands of dollars and complete at least 1,000 training hours in esthetician programs that do not relate to their services. This leaves threaders in Kansas with two options: to incur substantial debt for irrelevant training or to work in underground economies where they face exploitation and undue enforcement actions, including threats of criminal prosecution.

Third, SB 348 is a jobs bill. It is an opportunity bill. Threading has become a popular hair removal technique across the country. In 2020, data from Yelp showed that threading was one of the most searched beauty trends.¹ Today, 13 states completely exempt threaders from licensure. In those states, threaders freely and safely open and work in legitimate salons that are easily accessible to consumers. By removing the license requirement for threaders, SB 348 will reduce barriers to entrepreneurship and help consumers find threaders to provide this in-demand service. Finally, SB 348 would allow threaders to exercise their constitutional right to earn a living and grow their businesses.

¹ Yelp Blog (2020), *Yelp Reveals New Beauty Data: What People Are Searching for Now and What Trends Are on the Rise*, <u>https://blog.yelp.com/news/yelp-reveals-new-beauty-data/</u>.



INSTITUTE FOR JUSTICE

In conclusion, I encourage you to support SB 348 to ensure that threaders have every opportunity to thrive in Kansas. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit testimony.

Very truly yours,

Jessica Poitras, Esq. Legislative Counsel Institute for Justice 901 N. Glebe Road Suite 900 Arlington, VA 22203 Phone: 703-682-9320 ext. 345