Adam Proffitt, Director



Phone: (785) 296-2436 adam.c.proffitt@ks.gov http://budget.kansas.gov

Laura Kelly, Governor

March 10, 2021

The Honorable Jeff Longbine, Chairperson Senate Committee on Financial Institutions Statehouse, Room 235A-E Topeka, Kansas 66612

Dear Senator Longbine:

SUBJECT: Fiscal Note for SB 244 by Senate Committee on Financial Institutions and Insurance

In accordance with KSA 75-3715a, the following fiscal note concerning SB 244 is respectfully submitted to your committee.

SB 244 would provide instances when a pharmacy benefits manager's (PBM) license could be revoked, suspended, or limited and when an application for a license or reinstatement of a license could be denied. The Insurance Commissioner would adopt, amend, or revoke rules and regulations to issue, regulate, and take action against a PBM no later than July 1, 2022.

SB 244 would create a licensing status for PBM's and creates standards for conduct for PBM's. The bill would require that on and after January 1, 2022, a person would not perform, act or do business as a PBM unless they have a valid license issues by the Insurance Commissioner. The bill would require a PBM to submit a transparency report to the Insurance Commissioner. The bill would prohibit retaliation against a PBM for exercising rights under the law. The bill would set reimbursing standards by a PBM to pharmacies. The bill would create the Pharmacy Benefit Manager Licensure Fund to be administered by the Insurance Department and would be used for costs related to administering the PBM licensing Act. The bill would also increase the PBM application and renewal fees from \$140 to \$2,500. Finally, if a PBM is found to be in violation of or noncompliant with any state or federal laws, the PBM would be subject to a fine of \$5,000 per violation and \$5,000 per occurrence of noncompliance.

The Insurance Department states that it would require additional expenditures of \$72,000 in FY 2022 from its Pharmacy Benefit Manager Registration Fund. Of that amount, \$67,000 would be salary and benefit expenditures for 1.00 Policy Examiner FTE position and \$5,000 would

The Honorable Jeff Longbine, Chairperson Page 2—SB 244

be for computer and other office equipment. The bill would require a more complex review of the PBM applications and renewal material submitted. The bill also requires the agency to review transparency reports, publish the reports on the website, develop reports, and review annual pharmacy network adequacy reports and waiver applications. The agency cannot estimate the revenue that would be generated from application and renewal fees and fines.

The Department of Administration anticipates that enactment of the bill would increase the State Employee Health Plan (SEHP) expenditures at a rate greater than the pharmacy trend because there would be no incentive for pharmacies to be cost efficient since they could pass along 100.0 percent of their costs. For FY 2020, the pharmacy expenditures were \$101,038,515 and the agency anticipates a 25.0 percent increase if the bill were enacted. Assuming an 8.5 percent SEHP drug trend, the increase for FY 2022 would be \$27,406,697 and the increase for FY 2023 would be \$29,736,266.

The Board of Pharmacy states that the bill would not have a fiscal effect on its operations. Any fiscal effect associated with SB 244 is not reflected in *The FY 2022 Governor's Budget Report*.

Sincerely,

- 6.-- \$

Adam Proffitt Director of the Budget

cc: Alexandra Blasi, Board of Pharmacy Bobbi Mariani, Insurance