SESSION OF 2023

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON SENATE BILL NO. 291

As Amended by Senate Committee of the Whole

Brief*

SB 291, as amended, would create the Kansas Public Investments and Contracts Protection Act and would amend law governing the Kansas Public Employees Retirement Fund (Trust Fund) and investment standards to prohibit state agencies and other political subdivisions from giving preferential treatment to or discriminating against companies based on environmental, social, or governance (ESG) criteria in the procuring or letting contracts; require fiduciaries of the Kansas Public Employees Retirement System (KPERS or System) to act solely in the interest of participants and beneficiaries of the system; restrict state agencies from adopting ESG criteria or requiring any person or business to operate in accordance with such criteria; direct the KPERS Board of Trustees (Board) to divest from investments with foreign adversaries; provide enforcement of this act by the Attorney General; and indemnify KPERS with respect to actions taken in compliance with this act.

Kansas Public Investments and Contracts Protection Act (New Sections 1-9)

The bill would designate the provisions of sections 1-9 of the bill as the Kansas Public Investments and Contracts Protection Act (Act).

*Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at http://www.kslegislature.org
Definitions (New Section 1)

The bill would create several definitions for terminology associated with the Act. Among these terms, the bill would define:

- “Board” would mean the Board of Trustees of KPERS;
  - “System” would mean KPERS;
  - “System” would not include participant-directed individual account plans;
- “Entity” would mean a partnership, association, trust, joint venture, corporation, group, subgroup, or other non-United States governmental organization;
- “Environmental, social, and governance criteria” would mean any criterion that gives preferential treatment or discriminates based on whether a company meets or fails to meet one or more of the following criteria:
  - Engaging in the exploration, production, utilization, transportation, sale, or manufacturing of:
    - Fossil fuel-based energy;
    - Nuclear energy; or
    - Any other natural resource;
  - Engaging in the production of agriculture;
  - Engaging in the production or lumber;
  - Engaging in mining;
  - Emitting greenhouse gases or not disclosing or offsetting such greenhouse gas emissions;
  - Engaging in the manufacturing, distribution, or sale of firearms, firearms accessories, ammunition, or ammunition components;
○ Having a governing corporate board or other officers whose race, ethnicity, sex, or sexual orientation meets or does not meet any criterion;
○ Facilitating or assisting or not facilitating or assisting employees in obtaining abortions or gender reassignment services; and
○ Doing business with any company described in the above-listed criteria;

● “Fiduciary” would mean any person acting on behalf of the Board or System as an investment manager, proxy advisor, or contractor, including the System’s Board of Trustees;

○ The bill would further specify that a fiduciary may reasonably be determined to have taken an action or considered a factor with a purpose to further social, political, or ideological interests based upon evidence indicating such a purpose, including, but not limited to, any fiduciary commitment to further, through portfolio company engagement, board, or shareholder votes or otherwise as a fiduciary, any of the following beyond what controlling federal or state law requires, specifically on assets managed on behalf of the System:
  – Eliminating, reducing, offsetting, or disclosing greenhouse gas emissions;
  – Instituting or assessing corporate board, employment, composition, compensation, or disclosure criteria that incorporates characteristics protected under state law;
  – Divesting from, limiting investment in, or limiting the activities or investments of any company for failing or not committing to meet environmental
standards or disclosures;

- Accessing abortion, sex or gender change, or transgender surgery; or
- Divesting from, limiting investment in, or limiting the activities or investments of any company that engages in, facilitates, or supports the manufacture, import, distribution, marketing, advertising, sale, or lawful uses of firearms, ammunition, or component parts and accessories of firearms or ammunition;

- “Foreign adversary” would mean any government or non-government person determined to be a foreign adversary pursuant to 15 CFR 7.4, as in effect on July 1, 2023, except as otherwise provided;

  - The bill would further specify that upon any occasion when 15 CFR 7.4 is amended, the Attorney General may, in the Attorney General’s sole discretion, adopt rules and regulations to add or remove a government or non-government person from the definition of “foreign adversary” but only after giving due consideration to the risks to the state and national security and the economic costs and benefits of such action;

[Note: As of March 23, 2023, six counties or foreign government persons appeared in 15 CFR 7.4: China, Cuba, Iran, North Korea, Russia, and the Maduro Regime (Venezuela).]

- “Fossil fuels” would mean coal, natural gas, petroleum, or oil formed by natural processes through decomposition of dead organisms;

- “Person” would mean an individual or entity; and
“Person owned by, controlled by, or subject to the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign adversary” would mean:

- Any person, wherever located, who acts as an agent, representative, or employee, or any person who acts in any other capacity at the order, request, or under the direction or control, of a foreign adversary or of a person whose activities are directly or indirectly supervised, directed, controlled, financed, or subsidized in whole or in majority party by a foreign adversary;

- Any person, wherever located, who is a citizen or resident of a nation-state controlled by a foreign adversary, unless such person is a dual citizen of the United States and a foreign adversary;

- Any corporation, partnership, association, or other organization organized under the law of a nation-state controlled by a foreign adversary; or

- Any corporation, partnership, association, or other organization, wherever organized or doing business, that is owned or controlled by a foreign adversary.

The bill would also define the terms “Act,” “company,” “fiduciary commitment,” “financial,” and “natural resources.”

State Contracts—No Preferential Treatment or Discrimination Against Based on ESG Criteria (New Section 2)

The bill would require the State and its agencies (including the Pooled Money Investment Board) and subdivisions, when engaged in procuring or letting contracts for any propose, to ensure that bidders, offerors, contractors, or subcontractors are not given preferential treatment or discriminated against based on ESG criteria.
The bill would further prohibit the State and its agencies and subdivisions from adopting any procurement regulation or policy that causes any bidder, offeror, contractor, or subcontractor to be given preferential treatment or be subject to discrimination based on ESG criteria, except as otherwise specifically permitted or required by law.

**Investments by the Retirement System and Duties of the System, Investment Managers, and Other Advisors (New Section 3)**

**Discharge of duties.** The bill would require the System and any investment manager, proxy advisor, or contractor, when making and supervising investments of the System, to discharge its duties solely in the financial interest of the participants and beneficiaries for the exclusive purposes of providing financial benefits to participants and their beneficiaries and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the System.

The bill would further subject investment managers, proxy advisors, or contractors retained by the System to the same fiduciary duties as the System’s Board of Trustees. The bill would also state that a fiduciary shall consider only financial factors when discharging such fiduciary’s duties with respect to the System.

**Proxy voting authority and practice.** The bill would also provide the following conditions regarding proxy votes (voting of shares):

- All shares held directly or indirectly by or on behalf of the System or the participants and their beneficiaries must be voted solely in the financial interest of the system participants and their beneficiaries;

- Unless no economically practicable alternative is available, the System cannot grant proxy voting
authority to any person who is not part of the System, unless that person has a practice of, and in writing commits to, follow guidelines that match the system’s obligation to act solely upon financial factors, in which case the System may grant proxy voting authority to such person;

- Unless no economically practicable alternative is available, in the selection of the proxy advisor, the System must give practice to a proxy advisor service that commits in writing to engage in voting shares and making recommendations in a strictly fiduciary manner, and without consideration of policy objectives that are not the express policy objectives of the System, in which case the System may engage a proxy voting advisor;

- Unless no economically practicable alternative is available, system assets cannot be entrusted to a fiduciary, unless the fiduciary has a practice of, and in writing commits to, following guidelines, when engaging with portfolio companies and voting shares or proxies, that follow the system’s obligation to act solely upon financial factors and not upon policy considerations that are not the express policy objectives of the System, in which case the System may entrust engagement and share voting to a fiduciary;

- Unless no economically practicable alternative is available, an investment manager or contractor cannot adopt a practice of following the recommendations of a proxy advisor or other service provider, unless the advisor or service provider has a practice of, and in writing commits to, following proxy voting guidelines that follow the system’s obligations to act solely upon financial factors, in which case the investment manager or contractor may follow the recommendations of a proxy or other service advisor; and
• All proxy votes must be tabulated and reported annually to the System’s Board of Trustees and to the Joint Committee on Pensions, Investments and Benefits. The reports must be posted on the system’s website for review by the public; and
  ○ The reports will be required to contain, for each vote: a vote caption, the system’s vote, the recommendation of company management, and, if applicable, the proxy advisor’s recommendation.

The bill would further state that provisions relating to proxy voting authority, selection of proxy advisors, voting shares and guidelines, and reporting shall apply only to assets managed on behalf of the System and shall not apply to alternative or real estate investments as defined in the law governing the Trust Fund and investment standards (KSA 74-4921(5)).

Prohibited Investments; Foreign Adversaries (New Sections 4, 5, and 6)

The bill would create divestiture and other withdrawal requirements on the System for its publicly traded securities and indirect holdings in both actively and passively managed investment funds or certain private equity funds associated with foreign adversaries or persons related to such adversary. The bill would also create related reporting requirements on the Board.

Publicly traded securities, required actions. The bill would require the Board to sell, redeem, divest, or withdraw all publicly traded securities of any foreign adversary or person owned by, controlled by, or subject to the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign adversary in accordance with the following schedule:
At least 50 percent of these assets must be removed from the System’s assets under management not later than 1 year after the effective date of this act or 1 year from the date 15 CFR 7.4 is amended to include such foreign adversary if amended after July 1, 2023, unless the Board determines that a later date is more prudent, based on a good faith exercise of the Board’s fiduciary discretion and subject to a separate requirement specified for 100 percent of these assets; and

100 percent of such assets must be removed from the System’s assets under management not later than 18 months after the effective date of this act or 1 year from the date 15 CFR 7.4 is amended to include such foreign adversary if amended after July 1, 2023.

The bill further states the System would be prohibited from acquiring securities of any foreign adversary or person owned by, controlled by, or subject to the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign adversary.

**Divestiture, certain investment funds.** The bill would require the Board to divest from any indirect holdings in actively or passively management investment funds or private equity funds containing publicly traded securities of any foreign adversary or person owned by, controlled by, or subject to the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign adversary.

The bill would require the Board to submit letters to the managers of each investment fund containing publicly traded securities of any foreign adversary or person owned by, controlled by, or subject to the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign adversary requesting that they remove such publicly traded securities from the Fund or create a similar actively or passively managed fund with indirect holdings devoid of such publicly traded securities.
The bill would further provide, if a manager creates a similar fund with substantially the same management fees and substantially the same level of investment risk and anticipated return, the Board would be able to replace all applicable investments with investments in the similar fund in a time frame consistent with prudent fiduciary standards but not later than the 450th day after the date the fund is created. If a manager does not create a similar fund, the Board would be required to divest from such indirect holdings in actively or passively managed investment funds or private equity funds.

Report. The bill would require the Board to file an annual report with the State Treasurer, President of the Senate, Speaker of the House of Representatives, and Attorney General, no later than the first day of each regular session of the Legislature, that:

- Identifies all securities sold, redeemed, divested, or withdrawn;
- Identifies all prohibited investments as provided in the bill; and
- Summarizes any changes made pursuant to the bill’s requirements on divesture in certain investment funds.

State Agencies, Prohibition on ESG Requirements on Persons or Businesses (New Section 7)

The bill would prohibit state agencies from sharing or publishing information, adopting policies, adopting rules and regulations, or issuing guidelines for the purposes of ESG criteria that restrict the ability of any industry to offer products or services. Under the bill, a state agency could not require any person or business to adopt or operate in accordance with ESG criteria. The bill would define “state agency” for its use in this section as “an office, board, commission, department, council, bureau, governmental entity, or other
agency of state government having authority to adopt or enforce rules and regulations.”

_Enforcement of the Act, Contracts Subject to the Act_  
_(New Section 8)_

The bill would provide that the Act or any contract subject to the Act may be enforced by the Attorney General. The bill would further state if the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a person has engaged in, is engaging in, or is about to engage in a violation of the Act, the Attorney General may require:

- The person to file on such forms as the Attorney General may prescribe a statement of report in writing, under oath, as to all the facts and circumstances concerning the violation; and

- The filing of other data and information as deemed necessary.

_Damages_. The bill would provide that, in addition to any other remedies available at law or equity, a system investment manager or contractor that serves as a fiduciary and violates the provisions of New Section 3 (duties of the system, investment managers, other advisors) will be obligated to pay damages to the State in an amount equal to three time all moneys paid to the investment manager or contractor by the System for the services of such investment manager or contractor.

_Compliance with Act; Indemnification for System and its Representatives, Board of Trustees (New Section 9)_

The bill would provide that in a cause of action based on action, inaction, decision, divestment, investment, report, or other determination made or taken in compliance with the Act, without regard to whether the person performed services for compensation, the State must indemnify and hold harmless
for actual damages, courts costs, and attorney fees adjudged against and defend the System and any of its current and former employees, members of the Board, or any other officers of the System related to the Act or omission on which the damages are based.

**Kansas Public Employees Retirement Fund and Investment Objective Delegated to the Board (Section 10)**

The bill would also amend law governing the Trust Fund and investment standards to modify an existing prohibition on the investment and reinvestment of the trust fund to state that no moneys in the fund shall be invested or reinvested if an investment objective is for economic development or social purposes or objectives. [Note: The law currently states these moneys could not be invested or reinvested if the sole or primary investment objective is for economic development or social purposes or objectives.]

The bill would also make technical updates to the trust fund provisions by updating organization and removing obsolete language.

**Background**

The bill was introduced by the Senate Committee on Federal and State Affairs at the request of Senator Thompson. [Note: Provisions of the bill are similar to those of HB 2436.]

**Senate Committee on Federal and State Affairs**

In the Senate Committee hearing on March 7 and 8, 2023, proponent testimony was provided by Representative Murphy, the Attorney General, the State Treasurer, a representative of Berexco, LLC, and a private citizen. The Attorney General stated financial returns should be the top
priority for KPERS and suggested an amendment to the bill that would require registered investment advisers to obtain written consent from clients prior to investing client moneys in investments using ESG criteria. The State Treasurer addressed the operational role of a fiduciary, which must act solely in its client’s best interest and whose advice would be intended to maximize the return on the client’s investment funds. The primary goal of any legislation addressing ESG in Kansas, the State Treasurer stated, should be to ensure the free market continues to operate unimpeded by the insertion of subjective, politically driven goals. Representative Murphy expressed concerns about the performance of ESG funds.

The other proponents generally stated the bill would address concerns about ESG negatively impacting Kansas oil and gas producers and fossil fuel production and use.

Written-only proponent testimony was provided by representatives of the Kansas Independent Oil and Gas Association, Opportunity Solutions Project, and WallBuilders ProFamily Legislative Network, and a private citizen.

Opponent testimony was provided by representatives of the KPERS Board of Trustees, Kansas Coalition of Public Retirees and Kansas Association of Retired School Personnel, Kansas National Education Association, and Kansas Sierra Club. The KPERS Executive Director, appearing on behalf of the Board, stated that all investment decisions are made for the sole purpose of providing promised benefits and cited language addressing the issue of social investing enacted by the 1992 Legislature.

The Executive Director indicated the Board believes all current investment managers would be disqualified under the definitions created in the bill, as introduced (e.g., fiduciary, fiduciary commitment, and financial.) If the bill disqualifies the current investment managers, the Board would have to divest the existing positions with those managers, review what similar investment managers and funds are available to meet
the bill’s new fiduciary requirements, and restructure the portfolio based on the investment options available.

The Executive Director noted this divestment would incur asset losses of approximately $1.14 billion and reduce future investment returns by 0.85 percent based on a restructured investment portfolio. The testimony further indicated over the next ten years, the restructured portfolio is estimated to earn $3.6 billion less than the existing investment portfolio. The Executive Director also addressed proxy voting requirements in the bill and indicated a proxy advisor would be needed to comply with the bill. The Executive Director requested consideration of amendments addressing indemnity for the Board and KPERS personnel complying with the requirements of the bill. The other opponent conferees expressed concerns regarding the impact on KPERS investments and divestment, government interference with private business, and the potential of losses or extra costs.

Written-only opponent testimony was provided by representatives of the City of Overland Park and League of Kansas Municipalities.

Neutral testimony was provided by representatives of the Kansas Bankers Association, Kansas Chamber of Commerce, and Kansas Credit Union Association. The financial institutions’ representatives requested cautious consideration of ESG proposals with stated concerns regarding the requirement to obtain written consent, any increase on the regulatory burden on local financial institutions, or any other unintended consequences. The Kansas Chamber representative encouraged the avoidance of penalties on private businesses and the placement of the State in a neutral position with investments and contracts.

Written-only neutral testimony was provided by representatives of the American Council of Engineering Companies of Kansas, American Property Casualty Insurance Association, and Kansas Interfaith Action.
The Senate Committee amended the bill to:

- Add indemnification provisions for the System and its officers and employees, as well as the Board, for services provided in compliance with the Act;
- Modify criteria within certain definitions to clarify the referenced fiduciary duties and proxy voting conditions would apply specifically to the assets managed by the System;
- Add clarification to proxy voting authority and practices to include instances when the System may grant proxy voting authority, assign engagement and share voting to a fiduciary, and follow recommendations of a proxy or other advisor;
- Revise a proxy voting reporting requirement to change one of the entities receiving annual reporting from the Legislative Coordinating Council to the Joint Committee on Pensions, Investments and Benefits; and
- Add a requirement that registered investment advisers must obtain written consent from clients prior to investing client moneys in investments using ESG criteria that may be enforced by the Attorney General.

On March 21, 2023, the bill was withdrawn from the Senate Calendar and referred to the Senate Committee on Federal and State Affairs.

On March 22, 2023, following discussion on the bill, the Senate Committee further amended the bill to remove the requirement that registered investment advisers must obtain written consent from clients prior to investing client moneys in investments using ESG criteria, remove the provision related
to the Attorney General enforcing the requirement to obtain written consent, and clarify the definition of “System.”

**Senate Committee of the Whole**

The Senate Committee of the Whole amended the bill to add provisions concerning foreign adversaries and certain prohibited investments and requirements on the Board. The terms “entity,” “foreign adversary,” “person,” and “person owned by, controlled by or subject to the jurisdiction or direction of a foreign adversary” were also added by the amendment.

**Fiscal Information**

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget on the bill, as introduced, KPERS indicates enactment of the bill would require additional oversight of investment managers and additional reporting requirements. The agency would need to hire an additional 1.0 FTE Investment Officer for these additional duties at a cost of $165,000 in FY 2024 (including fringe benefits) from the KPERS Trust Fund. In addition, the agency reports that KPERS utilizes more than 99,000 proxy votes each year. To manage these votes, KPERS would need to utilize a proxy voting vendor, at an estimated cost of approximately $750,000 from the KPERS Trust Fund. Both the cost of the additional FTE position and the contract for the proxy voting vendor would be ongoing costs.

*Divestment.* In regard to the Senate Committee of the Whole amendment, KPERS reported in the bill's original fiscal note the bill could have an actuarial cost to the System from how divestment requirements would affect the KPERS assets and future expected investment returns. An actual cost is not available.
[Note: A fiscal note on the bill, as amended further by the Senate Committee and amended by the Senate Committee of the Whole, was not immediately available. However, additional fiscal information for HB 2436 provided to the House Committee on Financial Institutions and Pensions indicates the following portions of the fiscal note regarding the broader actuarial costs and projected impacts on the bill, as introduced, on the investment portfolio, funded ratio, and employer contribution rates would no longer be applicable in HB 2436, as amended.]

*Actuarial Cost.* KPERS indicates that the KPERS investment portfolio would have to be restructured because the current investment managers would be disqualified as fiduciaries and replaced by alternative investment managers that would meet the bill’s requirements. The initial divestment in private markets is estimated to cost KPERS approximately $1.14 billion from early divestment and could lower the System’s funded ratio by 4.0 percent.

*Investment Portfolio Impact.* KPERS further indicates a theoretical investment portfolio of 60.0 percent equities and 40.0 percent bonds would lower expected investment returns by 0.85 percent.

*Employer Contribution Rates.* This lowered return would increase the liabilities on the System, which would increase the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL) and require increased employer contribution rates. The KPERS actuary estimates for the State/ School Group, lowering the expected return by 0.85 percent would increase the UAL by $2.4 billion and reduce the funded ratio by 6.5 percent. With this scenario, the actuarial required employer contribution rate would increase in FY 2025 from 12.42 percent to 17.61 percent (5.19 percentage points). This increase would trigger the statutory cap on annual employer contributions and would limit the increase to 1.2 percentage points, or approximately $62.0 million for the State/ School Group.
Funded Ratio, Investment Returns. The cumulative theoretical actuarial effect on KPERS would be a decrease of approximately 10.0 percent to the System’s funded ratio, which would be approximately the same as in the System’s 2013 actuarial valuation. However, the actual long-term cost to KPERS would depend on the extent of the required divestment and restructuring of the investment portfolio. With a reduction in expected returns of 0.85 percent, the KPERS general investment consultant projects that the investment portfolio returns would reduce by $3.6 billion over the next ten years when compared to the current investment portfolio.

Other Impact. The Department of Administration, Office of Procurement and Contracts indicates that enactment of the bill would have no fiscal effect. The Office of the Attorney General states it is unable to estimate a fiscal effect for the agency. The Office of Judicial Administration indicates that enactment of the bill would have a negligible fiscal effect. The Pooled Money Investment Board reports the bill would have no fiscal effect.

A revised fiscal note on the amended bill was not immediately available. Any fiscal effect associated with the bill is not reflected in The FY 2024 Governor’s Budget Report.

The League of Kansas Municipalities and the Kansas Association of Counties report that the bill would have no fiscal effect on local governments.